

SEQUENTIAL ESTIMATION OF THE SQUARE OF THE RAYLEIGH PARAMETER

Mohamed Tahir

Department of Mathematics, University of Sharjah, P.O. Box 27272, Sharjah, United Arab Emirates

Received 2014-02-11; Revised 2014-03-02; 2014-06-19

ABSTRACT

The problem addressed is that of sequentially estimating the square of the parameter of the Rayleigh distribution, subject to a weighted squared loss plus cost of sampling. We propose a sequential procedure and provide a second-order asymptotic expansion for the incurred regret. It is seen that the asymptotic regret is negative for a range of values of the parameter.

Keywords: Anscombe's Theorem, Excess Over the Stopping Boundary, Hölder's Inequality, Regret, Sequential Procedure

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X_1, \dots, X_n denote independent observations to be taken sequentially up to a predetermined stage n from the Rayleigh distribution with p.d.f:

$$f_{\theta}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{\theta^2} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2\theta^2}} & \text{if } x > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if not,} \end{cases}$$

where, θ is an unknown positive number. It is desired to estimate θ^2 , subject to the loss function considered by (Chow and Yu, 1981; Martinsek, 1988) that is Equation 1:

$$L_a(w_n, \theta^2) = a^2 \theta^{4\beta-4} [w_n - \theta^2]^2 + n, \tag{1}$$

where, a is a known positive number, determined by the cost of estimation relative to the cost of a single observation, $\beta > 1$ is a given number and w_n is an appropriate point estimate of θ^2 (defined below). In practice, one might be interested in estimating the population variance, $\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{2}(4-\pi)\theta^2$ or the population second moment $\mu_2 = 2\theta^2$. Since both of these parameters are linear functions of θ^2 , it suffices to estimate θ^2 .

For observed values $x_1 > 0, \dots, x_n > 0$, of X_1, \dots, X_n , the log-likelihood function is:

$$l_n(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i - 2n \ln \theta - \frac{1}{2\theta^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \ln x_i^2$$

For $\theta > 0$. It follows that the maximum likelihood estimator of θ is:

$$\hat{\theta}_n = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2} = \sqrt{\bar{Y}_n}$$

where, $\bar{Y}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$ with $Y_i = X_i^2/2$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ and where the random variables Y_1, \dots, Y_n are independent with common distribution the Exponential distribution with mean $\mu_Y = \theta^2$ and standard deviation $\sigma_Y = \theta^2$.

The risk incurred by estimating θ^2 with $W_n = \hat{\theta}_n^2 = \bar{Y}_n$ under the loss (1) is:

$$R_a(n) = a^2 \theta^{4\beta-4} E[(\bar{Y}_n - \theta^2)^2] + n = \frac{a^2 \theta^{4\beta}}{n} + n$$

For any fixed value of $a > 0$, this risk is minimized with respect to n by choosing n as the greatest integer less than or equal to $n_a = a\theta^{2\beta} = a\sigma_Y^\beta$; in which case, the minimum risk is Equation 2:

$$R_a^* = R_a(n_a) = 2n_a = 2a\sigma_Y^\beta \tag{2}$$

Since n_a depends on the unknown value of θ , there is no fixed-sample-size procedure that attains the minimum risk R_a^* in practice. Therefore, we propose to use the sequential procedure (T, \bar{Y}_T) which stops the sampling process after observing Y_1, \dots, Y_T and estimates θ^2 by $W_T = \bar{Y}_T$, where Equation 3:

$$T = \inf \left\{ n \geq m_a : n > a \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \bar{Y}_n)^2 \right)^{\beta/2} \right\} \quad (3)$$

with m_a being a positive integer. Note that the standard deviation based on Y_1, \dots, Y_n is used in (2) as the estimator of θ^2 , instead of $W_n = \bar{Y}_n$, since θ^2 is also the standard deviation of Y_1 .

If m_a in (3) is such that $\delta \sqrt{a} \leq m_a = o(a)$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$ for some $\delta > 0$, then Equation 4:

$$E[\bar{Y}_T] = \mu_Y - \frac{\beta}{a} \sigma_Y^{1-\beta} + o\left(\frac{1}{a}\right) = \theta^2 - \frac{\beta}{a \theta^{2\beta-2}} + o\left(\frac{1}{a}\right) \quad (4)$$

As a $\theta\theta$, by Martinsek (1988), since the skewness of Y_1 is equal to 2. This shows that \bar{Y}_T is biased for large values of a . Thus, consider the biased-corrected estimator Equation 5:

$$\theta_n^* = \bar{Y}_n + \frac{\beta}{a^{1/\beta} n^{1-1/\beta}} \quad (5)$$

For $n \geq 1$, where $\beta > 1$. The regret of the sequential procedure (T, θ_n^*) is defined as Equation 6:

$$r_a(T, \theta_n^*) = E[L_a(T, \theta_n^*)] - R_a^* \quad (6)$$

where, R_a^* is as in (2). In this study we provide a second-order asymptotic expansion, as $a \rightarrow \infty$, for $r_a(T, \theta_n^*)$ and show that this regret is asymptotically negative if we choose $0 < \theta < \sqrt[4]{(4\beta - 4)/(3.25\beta + 1)}$.

Starr and Woodroffe (1969) considered the case in which X_1, X_2, \dots are i.i.d. Normal random variables and showed that the regret of their procedure is $O(1)$. Then, Woodroffe (1977) showed that the regret is $0.5 + o(1)$ if $m_a \geq 4$. Martinsek (1983) extended Woodroffe's result to the nonparametric case. Tahir (1989) proposed a class of bias-reduction estimators of the mean of the one-parameter exponential family and provided an asymptotic second-order lower bound for the regret. Kim and Han (2009) considered estimation of the scale parameter of the Rayleigh distribution under general

progressive censoring. Mousa *et al.* (2005; Prakash, 2013) focused on Bayesian prediction and Bayesian estimation for Rayleigh models.

2. ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION FOR THE REGRET OF THE SEQUENTIAL PROCEDURE

Rewrite the stopping time T in (3) as Equation 7:

$$t = \inf \left\{ n \geq m_a : n \left(\frac{V_n}{n} \right)^{-1/2} > a \right\}, \text{ where } V_n = \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \bar{Y}_n)^2 \quad (7)$$

And let $U_a = t(V_n/t)^{-1/2} - a$ denote the excess over the stopping boundary. Chang and Hsiung (1979) showed that the excess U_a converges in distribution to a random variable U as $a \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 1

Let T be as in (3). Then $\frac{T}{a} \rightarrow \sigma_Y^\beta = \theta^{2\beta}$ w.p.1 as $a \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover:

$$E[T] = a + \nu - 1.375 + o(1)$$

As $a \rightarrow \infty$, where $\nu = E[U]$ is the asymptotic mean of the excess over the boundary.

Proof

The first assertion follows from Lemma 1 of Chow and Robbins (1985). For the second assertion:

$$\begin{aligned} E[T] &= a + \nu - 0.5 - \frac{3}{8\sigma_Y^4} E\left[\left((Y_1 - \mu_Y)^2 - \sigma_Y^2 \right)^2 \right] + o(1) \\ &= a + \nu - 0.5 - \frac{3}{8}(\kappa - 1) + o(1) \\ &= a + \nu - 1.375 + o(1) \end{aligned}$$

As $a \rightarrow \infty$, by Chang and Hsiung (1979), using the fact that the kurtosis of Y_1 is $\kappa = \sigma_Y^{-4} E[(Y_1 - \mu_Y)^4] = 6$.

Proposition 1

Let θ_n^* be defined by (5) and let T be defined by (3) with m_a being such that $\delta \sqrt{a} \leq m_a = o(a)$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$ for some $\delta > 0$. Then, $E[\theta_n^*] = \theta^2 + o(1/a)$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof

For $a > 0$ Equation 8:

$$aE[\theta_T^* - \theta^2] = aE[\bar{Y}_T - \theta^2] + \beta E\left[\left(\frac{T}{a}\right)^{-(1-1/\beta)}\right] \quad (8)$$

The proposition follows by taking the limit as $a \rightarrow \infty$ in (8) and using (4) and the fact that $E[(T/a)^{-(1-1/\beta)}] \rightarrow \sigma_Y^{1-\beta}$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$ if $\beta > 1$, by the first assertion of Lemma 1 and (2.2) of Martinsek (1983).

Let $r_a(T, \theta_T^*)$ be as in (6). Then Equation 9:

$$\begin{aligned} r_a(T, \theta_T^*) &= E[a^2 \sigma_Y^{2\beta-2} (\bar{Y}_T - \mu_Y)^2 + T - 2a\sigma_Y^\beta] \\ &+ 2\beta \sigma_Y^{2\beta-2} a^{2-1/\beta} E\left[\frac{1}{T^{1-1/\beta}} (\bar{Y}_T - \mu_Y)\right] \\ &+ \beta^2 \sigma_Y^{2\beta-2} E\left[\frac{a^{2-2/\beta}}{T^{2-2/\beta}}\right] \quad (9) \\ &= r_a(T, \bar{Y}_T) + 2\beta \sigma_Y^{2\beta-2} E\left[\frac{a^{1-1/\beta}}{T^{1-1/\beta}} a(\bar{Y}_T - \mu_Y)\right] \\ &+ \beta^2 \sigma_Y^{2\beta-2} E\left[\frac{a^{2-2/\beta}}{T^{2-2/\beta}}\right] \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2

Let T be defined by (3) with m_a being such that $\delta \sqrt{a \leq ma} = o(a)$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$ for some $\delta > 0$ and with $\beta > 1$. Then:

$$E\left[\frac{a^{1-1/\beta}}{T^{1-1/\beta}} a(\bar{Y}_T - \mu_Y)\right] = \frac{2(1-\beta)}{\sigma_Y^{2\beta+1}} - \frac{\beta}{\sigma_Y^{2\beta-2}} + o(1)$$

As $a \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof

First, observe that Equation 10:

$$\begin{aligned} &E\left[\frac{a^{1-1/\beta}}{T^{1-1/\beta}} a(\bar{Y}_T - \mu_Y)\right] \\ &= E\left[\left[\left(\frac{a}{T}\right)^{1-1/\beta} - \frac{1}{\sigma_Y^{\beta-1}}\right] a(\bar{Y}_T - \mu_Y)\right] + \frac{1}{\sigma_Y^{\beta-1}} aE[\bar{Y}_T - \mu_Y] \quad (10) \end{aligned}$$

For $a > 0$. Moreover Equation 11:

$$aE[\bar{Y}_T - \mu_Y] = -\frac{\beta}{\sigma_Y^{\beta-1}} + o(1) \quad (11)$$

As $a \rightarrow \infty$, by (4). Next, expand $g(y) = y^{1/\beta}$ at $y = \sigma_Y^\beta$, substitute $y = T/a$ and multiply by $a(\bar{Y}_T - \mu_Y)$ to obtain Equation 12:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{a^{1-1/\beta}}{T^{1-1/\beta}} - \frac{1}{\sigma_Y^{\beta-1}}\right) (\bar{Y}_T - \mu_Y) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\beta} - 1\right) T_*^{1/\beta-2} \left(\frac{T}{a} - \sigma_Y^\beta\right) a(\bar{Y}_T - \mu_Y) \quad (12) \end{aligned}$$

where, T_* is a random variable such that $|T_* \sigma_Y^\beta| \leq |T/a - \sigma_Y^\beta|$. Next, rewrite T in (3) as $T = \inf\{n \geq m_a: n(V_n/n)^{-\beta/2} > a\}$, where, V_n is as in (7) and let:

$$U_a^* = T \left(\frac{V_T}{T}\right)^{-\beta/2} - a$$

Denote the excess over the stopping boundary. Expanding $h(y) = y^{-\beta/2}$ at $y = \sigma_Y^\beta$, substituting $y = V_T/T$ and multiplying by T yields:

$$\begin{aligned} T \left(\frac{V_T}{T}\right)^{-\beta/2} &= \frac{T}{\sigma_Y^\beta} - \frac{\beta}{2\sigma_Y^{\beta+2}} \\ (V_T - T\sigma_Y^2) &+ \frac{\beta(\beta+2)}{8\lambda_T^{\beta/2+2}} \frac{(V_T - T\sigma_Y^2)^2}{T} \end{aligned}$$

for $a > 0$, where λ_T is a random variable between V_T/T and σ_Y^2 . Furthermore, write:

$$V_T = \sum_{i=1}^T (Y_i - \mu_Y)^2 - T(\bar{Y}_T - \mu_Y)^2$$

To obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} U_a^* &= \frac{T}{\sigma_Y^\beta} - a - \frac{\beta}{2\sigma_Y^{\beta+2}} (W_T - T\sigma_Y^2) \\ &+ \frac{\beta}{2\sigma_Y^{\beta+2}} T(\bar{Y}_T - \mu_Y)^2 + \frac{\beta(\beta+2)}{8\lambda_T^{\beta/2+2}} \frac{(V_T - T\sigma_Y^2)^2}{T} \end{aligned}$$

For $a > 0$, where $W_T = \sum_{i=1}^T (Y_i - \mu_Y)^2$. It follows easily that Equation 13:

$$\frac{T}{a} - \sigma_Y^\beta = \frac{\sigma_Y^\beta}{a} (U_a^* - \xi_T) + \frac{\beta}{2a\sigma_Y^2} (W_T - T\sigma_Y^2) \quad (13)$$

For $a > 0$, where:

$$\xi_T = \frac{\beta}{2\sigma_Y^{\beta+2}} T(\bar{Y}_T - \mu_Y)^2 + \frac{\beta(\beta+2)}{8\lambda_T^{\beta/2+2}} \frac{(V_T - T\sigma_Y^2)^2}{T}$$

Substituting (13) in (12) yields Equation 14:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{a^{1-1/\beta}}{T^{1-1/\beta}} - \frac{1}{\sigma_Y^{\beta-1}} \right) (\bar{Y}_T - \mu_Y) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\beta} - 1 \right) \sigma_Y^\beta T_*^{1/\beta-2} (U_a - \xi_T) (\bar{Y}_T - \mu_Y) \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{\beta} - 1 \right) \frac{\beta}{2\sigma_Y^2} T_*^{1/\beta-2} (W_T - T\sigma_Y^2) (\bar{Y}_T - \mu_Y) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\beta} - 1 \right) \sigma_Y^\beta I_1(a) + \frac{1-\beta}{2\sigma_Y^2} I_2(a), \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Say. Let $S_n = Y_1 + \dots + Y_n$, $n \geq 1$. Then Equation 15:

$$\begin{aligned} E[|I_1(a)|] &= E \left[\left| \frac{T_*^{1/\beta-2}}{T} (U_a - \xi_T) (S_T - \mu_Y T) \right| \right] \\ &= \frac{\sigma_Y^\beta}{\sqrt{a\sigma_Y^\beta}} E \left[\left| (U_a - \xi_T) \frac{a}{T} T_*^{1/\beta-2} \frac{(S_T - \mu_Y T)}{\sqrt{a\sigma_Y^\beta}} \right| \right] \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{\sigma_Y^\beta}}{\sqrt{a}} \sqrt{E[(U_a - \xi_T)^2]} \sqrt{E \left[T_*^{2/\beta-4} \left(\frac{a}{T} \right)^2 \left(\frac{S_T - \mu_Y T}{\sqrt{a\sigma_Y^\beta}} \right)^2 \right]} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \sqrt{2\sigma_Y^\beta E[U_a^2] + 2\sigma_Y^\beta E[\xi_T^2]} \\ &\sqrt{E \left[T_*^{2/\beta-4} \left(\frac{a}{T} \right)^2 \left(\frac{S_T - \mu_Y T}{\sqrt{a\sigma_Y^\beta}} \right)^2 \right]} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

as $a \rightarrow \infty$, by Hölder's inequality, the fact that $T_* \rightarrow \sigma_Y^\beta (|T_* - \sigma_Y^\beta| \leq |T/a - \sigma_Y^\beta| \rightarrow 0$ w.p.1 since

$T/a \rightarrow \sigma_Y^\beta$, $\frac{S_T - \mu_Y T}{\sqrt{a\sigma_Y^\beta}}$ converges in distribution to a

Standard Normal random variable by Anscombe's theorem, the facts that $E[U_a^2] \rightarrow E[U^2] < \infty$ and $E[\xi_T^2] = O(1)$ $a \rightarrow \infty$ and (2.3), (2.8) and (2.9) of Martinsek (1983). To evaluate $E[I_2(a)]$, observe that Equation 16:

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(a) &= \frac{2a\sigma_Y^\beta}{T} T_*^{1/\beta-2} \frac{(W_T - T\sigma_Y^2)(S_T - \mu_Y T)}{a\sigma_Y^\beta} \\ &= 2\sigma_Y^\beta \frac{a}{T} T_*^{1/\beta-2} \left(\frac{W_T - \sigma_Y^2 T}{\sqrt{a\sigma_Y^\beta}} + \frac{S_T - \mu_Y T}{\sqrt{a\sigma_Y^\beta}} \right)^2 \\ &- 2\sigma_Y^\beta \frac{a}{T} T_*^{1/\beta-2} \left(\frac{W_T - \sigma_Y^2 T}{\sqrt{a\sigma_Y^\beta}} \right)^2 \\ &- 2\sigma_Y^\beta \frac{a}{T} T_*^{1/\beta-2} \left(\frac{S_T - \mu_Y T}{\sqrt{a\sigma_Y^\beta}} \right)^2 \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{in distribution}} 2\sigma_Y^{1-2\beta} (2Z)^2 \\ &- 2\sigma_Y^{1-2\beta} Z^2 - 2\sigma_Y^{1-2\beta} Z^2 = 4\sigma_Y^{1-2\beta} Z^2 \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

As $a \rightarrow \infty$, by Anscombe's theorem and the fact that $T_* \otimes \sigma_Y^\beta$ w.p.1 as $a \rightarrow \infty$ where Z is a random variable having the Standard Normal distribution. Thus Equation 17:

$$E[I_2(a)] = 4\sigma_Y^{1-2\beta} + o(1) \tag{17}$$

As $a \rightarrow \infty$, by (16) and (2.3) and (2.4) of Martinsek (1983). Taking expectation in (14) and using (15) and (17) yields Equation 18:

$$E \left[\left(\frac{a^{1-1/\beta}}{T^{1-1/\beta}} - \frac{1}{\sigma_Y^{\beta-1}} \right) a (\bar{Y}_T - \mu_Y) \right] = \frac{2(1-\beta)}{\sigma_Y^{2\beta+1}} + o(1) \tag{18}$$

$a \rightarrow \infty$. The lemma follows by taking the limit, as $a \rightarrow \infty$, in (10) and using (11) and (18).

Theorem 1. Let T be defined by (3) with m_a being such that $\delta \sqrt{a} \leq m_a = o(a)$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$ for some $\delta > 0$ and $\beta > 1$. Let the regret of the biased-corrected procedure (T, θ_T^*) be as in (6). Then:

$$r_a(T, \theta_T^*) = 3.25\beta^2 + \beta - \frac{4\beta(\beta-1)}{\theta^6} + o(1)$$

As $\alpha \theta$.

Proof

First Equation 19:

$$\begin{aligned} r_a(T, \bar{Y}_T) &= E[a^2 \sigma_Y^{2\beta-2} (\bar{Y}_T - \mu_Y)^2 + T] \\ - 2a\sigma_Y^\beta &= 5.25\beta^2 + \beta + o(1) \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

As $a \rightarrow \infty$ if $\delta > 1$, by Martinsek (1988). Next, take the limit, as $a \rightarrow \infty$, in (9) and use (19), Lemma 2 and the fact that:

$$E \left[\frac{a^{2-2/\beta}}{T^{2-2/\beta}} \right] = \frac{1}{\sigma_Y^{2\beta-2}} + o(1)$$

as $a \rightarrow \infty$ if $\delta > 1$, by the first assertion of Lemma 1 and (2.2) of Martinsek (1983), to complete the proof.

3. NEGATIVE ASYMPTOTIC REGRET

Theorem 1 shows that the biased-corrected procedure (T, μ_T^*) has a lower asymptotic regret than the procedure (T, \bar{Y}_T) . Also, the asymptotic regret of the procedure (T, μ_T^*) is negative if Equation 20:

$$0 < \theta < \sqrt[6]{\frac{4\beta-4}{3.25\beta+1}} \equiv \theta_\beta \tag{20}$$

Table 1. Asymptotic regret for various choices of $\beta > 1$ and $0 < \theta < \theta_\beta$ (see (20))

b	q_b	q	Asymptotic regret
1.5	0.836	0.2	-46866.1880000
1.5	0.836	0.3	-4106.4138000
1.5	0.836	0.4	-723.6093800
1.5	0.836	0.5	-183.1875000
1.5	0.836	0.7	-16.6870790
2.0	0.901	0.2	-124985.0000000
2.0	0.901	0.3	-10958.9370000
2.0	0.901	0.4	-1938.1250000
2.0	0.901	0.5	-497.0000000
2.0	0.901	0.8	-15.5175780
2.0	0.901	0.9	-0.0534114
5.0	0.988	0.3	-109653.12.00000
5.0	0.988	0.4	-19445.0000000
5.0	0.988	0.6	-1628.4276000
5.0	0.988	0.7	-593.7387800
5.0	0.988	0.9	-64.2841140
10	1.012	0.2	-5624665.0000000
10	1.012	0.4	-87555.6250000
10	1.012	0.7	-2724.9495000
10	1.012	0.8	-1038.2910000
10	1.012	0.9	-342.4035100
10	1.012	1.0	-25.0000000
15	1.020	0.2	-13124254.0000000
15	1.020	0.3	-1151517.1000000
15	1.020	0.5	-53013.7500000
15	1.020	0.7	-6393.6322000
15	1.020	0.9	-834.3582000
15	1.020	1.0	-93.7500000

This means that for the values of θ in the interval $(0, \theta_\beta)$ with $\beta > 1$, the sequential procedure (T, μ_r^*) performs better, for large values of a , than the best fixed-sample-size procedure $(n_a^*, \bar{Y}_{n_a^*})$, where n_a^* is the greatest integer less than or equal to $n_a = a\theta^{2\beta}$ (see **Table 1**).

4. CONCLUSION

We have proposed a sequential procedure for estimating the square of the shape parameter of the Rayleigh distribution and provided a second-order asymptotic expansion for the incurred regret. It is seen that the proposed procedure performs better than the best fixed-sample-size procedure if the shape parameter lies in a specific subinterval of the positive real numbers.

For future research, it would be worth considering Bayesian sequential estimation of a function of the shape parameter of the Rayleigh distribution, in which the focus will be on finding a sequential procedure and approximating the Bayes regret, as well as comparing the proposed procedure with existing procedures.

5. REFERENCES

Chang, I.S. and C.A. Hsiung, 1979. Approximations to the expected sample size of certain sequential procedures. Proceedings of the Conference on, Recent Developments in Statistical Methods and Applications, (CRDS' 79), Taipei, Institute of Mathematic Academi Sinica, pp: 71-82.

Chow, Y.S. and H. Robbins, 1985. On the asymptotic theory of fixed-width sequential confidence intervals for the mean. *Annal. Math. Stat.*, 36: 457-462. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4612-5110-1_19

Chow, Y.S. and K.F. Yu, 1981. The performance of a sequential procedure for the estimation of the mean. *Annal. Stat.*, 9: 189-198.

Kim, C. and K. Han, 2009. Estimation of the scale parameter of the Rayleigh distribution under general progressive censoring. *J. Korean Stat. Society*, 38: 239-246. DOI: 10.1016/j.jkss.2008.10.005

Martinsek, A.T., 1983. Second order approximation to the risk of a sequential procedure. *Annal. Stat.*, 11: 827-836.

- Martinsek, A.T., 1988. Negative regret, optional stopping and the elimination of outliers. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.*, 83: 160-163.
- Mousa, M.A. M. Ali and S.A. Al-Sagheer, 2005. Bayesian prediction for progressively Type-II censored data from Rayleigh model. *Commun. Stat. Theory Meth.*, 34: 2353-2361. DOI: 10.1080/03610920500313767
- Prakash, G., 2013. Bayes estimation in the inverse Rayleigh model. *Electron. J. App. Stat. Anal.*, 6: 67-83. DOI: 10.1285/i20705948v6n1p67.
- Starr, N. and M. Woodroffe, 1969. Remarks on sequential point estimation. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA.*, 63: 285-288.
- Tahir, M., 1989. An asymptotic lower bound for the local minimax regret in sequential point estimation. *Anal. Stat.*, 17: 1335-1346.
- Woodroffe, M., 1977. Second order approximations for sequential point and interval estimation. *Anal. Stat.*, 5: 984-995.