

On Some Stability Results for Fixed Point Iteration Procedure

M. O. Olatinwo, O. O. Owojori and C. O. Imoru
 Department of Mathematics, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria.

Abstract: In this study, we establish that both the Mann and Ishikawa iteration processes are T-stable for the mappings T satisfying a more general contractive definition than that of Osilike^[1]. The results obtained generalize some of the recent results of Osilike^[1] which are themselves generalizations and extensions of some of the results of Harder and Hicks^[2] and Rhoades^[3,4].

Key words: Stability results, fixed point iteration procedure

INTRODUCTION

Let (E, d) be a complete metric space and $T : E \rightarrow E$ a selfmap of E and $F(T) = \{p \in E : T_p = p\}$, the set of fixed points of T . For $x_0 \in E$, define sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ iteratively by

$$x_{n+1} = f(T, x_n), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (1)$$

Suppose $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges to a fixed point p of T and let $\varepsilon_n = d(y_{n+1}, f(T, y_n))$, where $\{y_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset E$. Then, the iteration procedure (I) is said to be T-stable or stable with respect to T if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0$ implies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = p$.

Harder and Hicks^[2] established several stability results under various contractive conditions using the above concept. Rhoades^[3,4] extended the results of Harder and Hicks^[2] to other classes of contractive mappings. In Rhoades^[4], the following contractive definition was considered: there exists a constant $c \in [0, 1)$, such that for each $x, y \in E$,

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq c \max\{d(x, y), \frac{1}{2}[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)], d(x, Ty), d(x, Tx), d(y, Tx)\} \quad (2)$$

Using (2), Rhoades^[4] established several stability results which are generalizations and extensions of most of the results of Harder and Hicks^[2] and Rhoades^[5]. It was shown in Rhoades^[6] that if T satisfies (2) then,

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \frac{c}{1-c} d(x, Ty) + c d(x, y)$$

Osilike^[1] employed the following contractive definition: for each $x, y \in E$, there exist constants $a \in [0, 1)$ and $L \geq 0$ such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq Ld(x, Tx) + a d(x, y). \quad (3)$$

Using (3), Osilike^[1] proved several stability results which are generalizations and extensions of most of the results of Rhoades^[4].

Employing the same contractive definitions as in Harder and Hicks^[2], Berinde^[7] proved the same stability results for the same iteration procedures by an alternative method.

In this study, we extend some of the recent results of Berinde^[7], Osilike^[1] and Rhoades^[4] to a more general contractive definition.

Preliminaries: In the sequel, we shall employ the following contractive definition. For each $x, y \in E$, there exist a constant $b \in [0, 1]$, and a continuous, monotone increasing function $\varphi : \mathfrak{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}_+$ with $\varphi(0) = 0$, such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \varphi(d(x, Tx)) + bd(x, y). \quad (4)$$

The contractive definition (4) is more general than those considered by Berinde^[7], Harder and Hicks^[2], Rhoades^[3,4] and Osilike^[1]. This is evident by specifying φ in (4) as follows. If $\varphi(u) = Lu$ in (4) above, where $L \geq 0$ is a constant, then we obtain the contractive mapping of Osilike^[1] which is itself a generalization of those in Harder and Hicks^[2], Berinde^[7] and Rhoades^[4]. Also, if $L = mb$, where $m = (1-b)^{-1}$, $b \in [0, 1)$, we obtain the contractive mapping considered by Rhoades^[4].

Also, if $L = 2\delta$, $b = \delta$, where $\delta = \max \left\{ \alpha \frac{\beta}{1-\beta}, \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma} \right\}$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$

$0 \leq \beta < 0.5$, $0 \leq \gamma \leq 0.5$, then we obtain the Zamfirescu's contractive definition which was employed in Harder and Hicks^[2] and Berinde^[7]. Furthermore, if $\varphi(u) = 0$, then (4) reduces to $d(Tx, Ty) \leq bd(x, y)$, $b \in [0, 1)$ which is another contractive definition used by Harder and Hicks^[2] and Berinde^[7].

In the sequel, we shall establish stability results for the following iteration procedures:

- i. The Mann Iteration Process ^[1,6], which is defined for arbitrary $x_0 \in E$ by $x_{n+1} = f(T, x_n) = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_nTx_n$, $n \geq 0$, Where, $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is a real sequence satisfying $\alpha_0 = 1, 0 \leq \alpha_n \leq 1$, for $n > 0$ and $\sum_{n=0}^\infty \alpha_n = \infty$.
- ii. The Ishikawa Iteration Process ^[1,6] which is defined for arbitrary $x_0 \in E$ by:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} Z_n &= (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \alpha_nTx_n \\ x_{n+1} &= f(T, X_n) = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_nTx_n, \end{aligned} \right\}$$

Where, $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ and $\{\beta_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ are real sequences satisfying $0 \leq \alpha_n \leq \beta_n \leq 1$ for all $n \geq 0$, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n = 0$ and $\sum_{n=0}^\infty \alpha_n \beta_n = \infty$. We shall employ the following lemmas in the proofs of the stability results.

Lemma 1: Let (E, d) be a complete metric space, and $T: E \rightarrow E$ a selfmap of E satisfying (4). Let $x_0 \in E$ and $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$, $n \geq 0$. Suppose T has a fixed point p and $\varphi: \mathfrak{X}_+ \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_+ = [0, \infty)$ is a continuous monotone increasing function such that $\varphi(0) = 0$. Then, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(d(x_n, Tx_n)) = 0$.

Proof: From (4) and the hypothesis of the Lemma, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, p) &= d(Tx_n, Tp) = d(Tp, Tx_n) \\ &\leq \varphi(d(p, Tp)) + bd(p, x_n) \\ &= bd(x_n, p) \leq b^2d(x_{n-1}, p) \leq \dots \\ &\leq b^{n+1}d(x_0, p) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

By triangle inequality and (4), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, Tx_n) &\leq d(x_n, p) + d(p, Tx_n) \\ &= d(x_n, p) + d(Tp, Tx_n) \\ &\leq d(x_n, p) + \varphi(d(p, Tp)) + bd(p, x_n) \\ &= (1+b)d(x_n, p) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, Tx_n) = 0$.

But φ is continuous, therefore we have :

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(d(x_n, Tx_n)) &= \varphi(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, Tx_n)) = 0, \\ \text{This completes the proof of the Lemma.} \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1: The operator T in Lemma 1 is not necessarily a Picard operator.

Lemma 2: Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed linear space, and let $T: E \rightarrow E$ be a selfmap of E satisfying (4). Suppose T has a fixed point p . Let $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be the Ishikawa iteration process with $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ and $\{\beta_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ satisfying

- i. $\alpha_0 = 1$;
- ii. $0 \leq \alpha_n, \beta_n \leq 1, n \geq 0$;

- iii. $\sum_{n=0}^\infty \alpha_j = \infty$;
- iv. $\sum_{n=0}^\infty \prod_{k=j+1}^n (1 - \alpha_k + b\alpha_k)$ converges.

Suppose $\varphi: \mathfrak{X}_+ \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_+$ is a continuous monotone increasing function such that

$\varphi(0) = 0$. Let $\{y_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \subset E$ and define.

$$\begin{aligned} s_n &= (1 - \beta_n)y_n + \beta_nTy_n, \quad n \geq 0 \\ \varepsilon_n &= \|y_{n+1} - (1 - \alpha_n)y_n - \alpha_nTs_n\| \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_{n+1} - p\| &\leq \|x_{n+1} - p\| + \sum_{j=0}^\infty \prod_{k=j+1}^n \\ &\quad (1 - \alpha_k + b\alpha_k) \varphi \|z_j - Tz_j\| \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^\infty \alpha_j \beta_j \prod_{k=j+1}^n (1 - \alpha_k + b\alpha_k) \\ &\quad \varphi(\|x_j - Tx_j\|) \\ &\quad + \prod_{k=0}^n (1 - \alpha_k + b\alpha_k) \|x_0 - y_0\| \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^\infty \prod_{k=j+1}^n (1 - \alpha_k + b\alpha_k) \varepsilon_j, \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Where the product is 1 when $j = n$.

Proof: Using (4) and the triangle inequality, we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_{n+1} - p\| &\leq \|y_{n+1} - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - p\| \\ &\leq \|y_{n+1} - p\| + \|y_{n+1} - (1 - \alpha_n)y_n - \alpha_nTs_n\| \\ &\quad + \|(1 - \alpha_n)y_n - \alpha_nTs_n - x_{n+1}\| \\ &= \|x_{n+1} - p\| + \varepsilon_{n+1} \\ &\quad + \|(1 - \alpha_n)y_n - \alpha_nTs_n - (1 - \alpha_n)x_n - \alpha_nTx_n\| \\ &\leq \|x_{n+1} - p\| + (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - y_n\| \\ &\quad + \alpha_n \|Tz_n - Ts_n\| + \varepsilon_n \\ &\leq \|x_{n+1} - p\| + (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - y_n\| \\ &\quad + \alpha_n [\varphi(\|z_n - Tz_n\|) + b \|z_n - s_n\|] + \varepsilon_n \\ &= \|x_{n+1} - p\| + (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - y_n\| \\ &\quad + \alpha_n \varphi(\|z_n - Tz_n\|) + \alpha_n b \|z_n - s_n\| + \varepsilon_n \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_n - s_n\| &= \|(1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_nTx_n - (1 - \beta_n)y_n - \beta_nTy_n\| \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_n) \|x_n - y_n\| + \beta_n \|Tx_n - Ty_n\| \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_n) \|x_n - y_n\| + \beta_n (\varphi \|x_n - Tx_n\|) \\ &\quad + b \|x_n - y_n\| \\ &= \beta_n \varphi(\|x_n - Tx_n\|) + (1 - \beta_n + b\beta_n) \|x_n - y_n\| \\ &\leq \beta_n \varphi(\|x_n - Tx_n\|) + \|x_n - y_n\|. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Substituting (7) into (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_{n+1} - p\| &\leq \|y_{n+1} - p\| + \alpha_n \varphi \\ &\quad (\|z_n - Tz_n\|) + b\alpha_n \beta_n \varphi(\|x_n - Tx_n\|) \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_n + b\alpha_n) \|x_n - y_n\| + \varepsilon_n \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n - x_n\| &\leq \|y_n - (1 - \alpha_{n-1})y_{n-1} - \alpha_{n-1}Ts_{n-1}\| \\ &\quad + \|(1 - \alpha_{n-1})y_{n-1} + \alpha_{n-1}Ts_{n-1} - x_n\| \\ &= \varepsilon_{n-1} + \|(1 - \alpha_{n-1})y_{n-1} - \alpha_{n-1}Ts_{n-1} \\ &\quad - (1 - \alpha_{n-1})x_{n-1} - \alpha_{n-1}Tx_{n-1}\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq (1 - \alpha_{n-1}) \|x_{n-1} - y_{n-1}\| + \alpha_{n-1} \| \\ &Tz_{n-1} - Ts_{n-1}\| + \varepsilon_{n-1} \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{n-1}) \|x_{n-1} - y_{n-1}\| + \alpha_{n-1} \\ &(\varphi(\|z_{n-1} - Tz_{n-1}\|)) \\ &+ b \|z_{n-1} - s_{n-1}\| + \varepsilon_{n-1} \\ &= \alpha_{n-1} \varphi(\|z_{n-1} - Tz_{n-1}\|) + (1 - \alpha_{n-1}) \|x_{n-1} - y_{n-1}\| \\ &+ b\alpha_{n-1} \|z_{n-1} - s_{n-1}\| + \varepsilon_{n-1} \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Similarly, from (7), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &\|z_{n-1} - s_{n-1}\| \leq \beta_{n-1} \varphi(\|x_{n-1} - Tx_{n-1}\|) \\ &+ \|x_{n-1} - y_{n-1}\| \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Substituting (10) into (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|x_n - y_n\| \leq \alpha_{n-1} \varphi(\|z_{n-1} - Tz_{n-1}\|) \\ &+ b\alpha_{n-1} \beta_{n-1} \varphi(\|x_{n-1} - Tx_{n-1}\|) + \\ &(1 - \alpha_{n-1} + b\alpha_{n-1}) \|x_{n-1} - y_{n-1}\| + \varepsilon_{n-1} \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Substituting (11) into (8) yields:

$$\begin{aligned} &\|y_{n+1} - p\| \leq \|x_{n+1} - p\| + \alpha_n \varphi(\|z_n - Tz_n\|) + b\alpha_n \beta_n \varphi \\ &(\|x_n - Tx_n\|) + \varepsilon \\ &+ (1 - \alpha_n + b\alpha_n) \varepsilon_{n-1} + (1 - \alpha_n + b\alpha_n) \alpha_{n-1} \varphi(\|z_{n-1} - Tz_{n-1}\|) \\ &+ b(1 - \alpha_n + b\alpha_n) \alpha_{n-1} \beta_{n-1} \varphi(\|x_{n-1} - Tx_{n-1}\|) \\ &+ (1 - \alpha_n + b\alpha_n) (1 - \alpha_{n-1} + b\alpha_{n-1}) \|x_{n-1} - y_{n-1}\| \end{aligned}$$

Repeating this process (n-1) more times yields (5). This completes the proof.

Remark 2: If $\beta_n = 0$ in Lemma 2, then we obtain an equivalent result for the Mann iteration process.

MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 1: Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed linear space and let $T : E \rightarrow E$ be a selfmap of E satisfying the contractive definition (4). Suppose T has a fixed point p and the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is the Ishikawa iteration process satisfying the conditions of Lemma 2. Then, the Ishikawa iteration process is T -stable.

Proof: Suppose $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0$. Then, we shall show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = p$, using Lemmas 1 and 2. Let C be the lower triangular matrix with entries:

$$\begin{aligned} c_{nj} &= \alpha_j \prod_{k=j+1}^n (1 - \alpha_k + b\alpha_k). \quad \text{Then, } C \text{ is} \\ &\text{multiplicative}^{[1,4]}. \text{ Since } \varphi \text{ is continuous and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|z_n - \\ &Tz_n\| = 0, \text{ then by Lemma 1, we obtain:} \\ &\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j \prod_{k=j+1}^n (1 - \alpha_k + b\alpha_k) \varphi \\ &(\|z_j - Tz_j\|) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq b \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j \prod_{k=j+1}^n (1 - \alpha_k + b\alpha_k) \varphi(\|x_j - Tx_j\|) \\ &\leq b \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j \prod_{k=j+1}^n (1 - \alpha_k + b\alpha_k) \varphi(\|x_j - Tx_j\|). \end{aligned}$$

Since φ is continuous and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - Tx_n\| = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j \prod_{k=j+1}^n (1 - \alpha_k + b\alpha_k) \varphi \\ &(\|x_j - Tx_j\|) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

which implies that :

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j \beta_j \prod_{k=j+1}^n$$

$$(1 - \alpha_k + b\alpha_k) \varphi(\|x_j - Tx_j\|) = 0,$$

Let D be the lower triangular matrix with entries $d_{nj} = \prod_{k=j+1}^n (1 - \alpha_k + b\alpha_k)$.

Condition (iv) of Lemma 2 implies that D is multiplicative^[5] and since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0$, we obtain:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^n \prod_{k=j+1}^n (1 - \alpha_k + b\alpha_k) \varepsilon_n = 0$$

Moreover, condition (iii) of Lemma 2 implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k=0}^n (1 - \alpha_k + b\alpha_k) = 0$.

Also, we shall prove that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - p\| = 0$.

Using (4), triangle inequality and condition (ii) of Lemma 2, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\| &= \|(1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n Tz_n - p\| \\ &= \|(1 - \alpha_n)(x_n - p) + \alpha_n(Tz_n - p)\| \\ &= \|(1 - \alpha_n)(x_n - p) + \alpha_n(Tz_n - Tp)\| \\ &\leq \|(1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n \|Tp - Tz_n\| \\ &\leq \|(1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n [\varphi(\|p - Tp\|) \\ &+ b \|p - z_n\|] \\ &= \|(1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - p\| + b\alpha_n \|1 - \beta_n\| x_n \\ &+ \beta_n \|Tx_n - p\| \\ &= \|(1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - p\| + b\alpha_n [\|1 - \beta_n\| (x_n - p) \\ &+ \beta_n \|Tx_n - p\|] \\ &\leq \|(1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - p\| + b\alpha_n (1 - \beta_n) \|x_n - p\| \\ &+ b\alpha_n \beta_n \|Tp - Tx_n\| \\ &\leq \|(1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - p\| + b\alpha_n (1 - \beta_n) \|x_n - p\| \\ &+ b\alpha_n \beta_n [\varphi(\|p - Tp\|) + b \|p - x_n\|] \\ &= (1 - \alpha_n + b\alpha_n) \|x_n - p\| - b\alpha_n \beta_n (1 - b) \|x_n - p\| \\ &\leq [1 - (1 - b) \alpha_n] \|x_n - p\| \\ &= \exp(- (1 - b) \alpha_n) \|x_n - p\| \\ &\leq \exp(- (1 - b) \alpha_n) \exp(- (1 - b) \alpha_{n-1}) \|x_{n-1} - p\| \\ &\leq \exp(- (1 - b) \alpha_n) \exp(- (1 - b) \alpha_{n-1}) \dots \leq \\ &\exp(- (1 - b) \alpha_0) \|x_0 - p\| \\ &= \exp(- (1 - b) \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j) \|x_0 - p\| \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Hence, inequality (5) yields $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = p$.

Conversely, suppose that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = p$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_n &= \|y_{n+1} - (1 - \alpha_n)y_n - \alpha_n Ts_n\| \\ &\leq \|y_{n+1} - p\| + \|p - (1 - \alpha_n)y_n - \alpha_n Ts_n\| \\ &= \|y_{n+1} - p\| + \|(1 - \alpha_n)(y_n - p) + \alpha_n (Ts_n - p)\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \| y_{n+1}-p \| + (1- \alpha_n) \| y_n - p \| + \alpha_n \| Tp-Ts_n \| \\
 & \| y_{n+1}-p \| + (1- \alpha_n) \| y_n - p \| + \alpha_n (\varphi(\| p-Tp \|)) \\
 & + b \| p-s_n \|) \\
 = & \| y_{n+1}-p \| + (1- \alpha_n) \| y_n - p \| + b\alpha_n(1-\beta_n)(y_n -p) \\
 & + \beta_n(Ty_n- p) \| \\
 & \| y_{n+1}-p \| + (1- \alpha_n) \| y_n - p \| + b\alpha_n(1-\beta_n)(y_n -p) \\
 & + b\alpha_n\beta_n \| Tp- Ty_n \| \\
 & \| y_{n+1} - p \| + (1- \alpha_n + b\alpha_n - b\alpha_n\beta_n) \| (y_n - p) \| \\
 & + b\alpha_n\beta_n (\varphi(\| p - Tp \| + b \| p - y_n \|)) \\
 = & \| y_{n+1} - p \| + (1- \alpha_n + b\alpha_n - b\alpha_n\beta_n + b^2n\beta_n) \\
 & \| (y_n - p) \| \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem.

Remark 3: Theorem 1 is a generalization of Theorem 2 of Osilike^[1] and Theorem 30 of Rhoades^[3]. If $\beta_n = 0, \forall n \geq 0$ in Theorem 1, we obtain a generalization of Theorem 2 of Rhoades^[4] which itself is a generalization of both Theorem 3 of Harder and Hicks^[2] and Theorem 2 of Rhoades^[5].

By Remark 2, we have the following stability result for the Mann iteration process.

Corollary 1: Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed linear space and let $T: E \rightarrow E$ be a selfmap of E satisfying the contractive definition (4). Suppose T has a fixed point p and let be the Mann iteration process satisfying the conditions of Remark 2. then, the Mann iteration process is T -stable.

Proof: The proof follows directly from Theorem 1, by putting $\beta_n = 0$.

Remark 4: Corollary 1 is a generalization of Theorem 2 of Rhoades^[4], which itself is a generalization of both Theorem 3 of Harder and Hicks^[2] and Theorem 2 of Rhoades^[5].

REFERENCES

1. Osilike, M.O., 1995. Stability results for fixed point iteration procedure. *J. Nigerian Math. Soc.*, 14/15: 17-29.
2. Harder, A.M. and T.L. Hicks, 1988. Stability results for fixed point iteration procedures, *Math. Japonica* 33: 693-706.
3. Rhoades, B.E., 1991. Some fixed point iteration procedures. *Intl. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 4: 1-16.
4. Rhoades, B.E., 1993. Fixed point theorems and stability results for fixed point iteration procedures II. *Indian J. Pure and Applied Math.*, 24: 691-703 .
5. Rhoades, B.E., 1990. Fixed point theorems and stability results for fixed point iteration procedures I. *Indian J. Pure and Applied Math.*, 21: 1-9.
6. Rhoades, B.E., 1976. Comments on two fixed point iteration methods. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 56: 741-750.
7. Berinde, V., 2002. On the stability of some fixed point procedures. *Bul. Stiint. Univ. Baia Mare, Ser.B, Matematica-Informatica*, xviii: 7-14.