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#### Abstract

The paper addresses the traffic engineering in SoftwareDefined Networks (SDN). A brief analysis of the features of the SDN organization, which allows to increase the Traffic Engineering (TE) efficiency, is given. The viability of using multipath routing with TE is substantiated. Taking into account the features of the SDN technology, a modified TE method, which allows to reduce the time complexity of generating a set of paths and to reduce the rerouting time, is proposed. The procedure and an example of the routing tables' formation are given. The results of modeling the TE process with changing communication links load are given. It is shown, that route reconfiguration does not significantly affect its traffic metric.
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## Introduction

Modern computer networks have large sizes and diverse hardware composition. This complicates the process of managing computer networks, in particular, Traffic Engineering (TE). With an increase in the size of computer networks and in the volume of network traffic, the task of evenly loading the network and reducing energy consumption is relevant.

To solve these problems, the technology of Software-Defined Networks (SDN) is currently used (Isong et al., 2017; Kumar et al., 2017; Sahoo et al., 2017). A distinctive feature of Software-Defined Networks is that the network is organized and managed at the software level with the use of virtual switches and a central SDN controller (Shu et al., 2016) Fig. 1.

This allows organizing both centralized and decentralized management of network resources, while expanding functionality of TE and of data transmission in the network. With centralized path building, the SDN controller has full information about the structure of the network and its components; this allows the paths to be optimized according to specified metrics in the process of path generation (Nunes et al., 2014).

The paper (Yasir et al., 2018) provides an analysis of various methods of traffic optimization in SDN networks. The advantages of using SDN technology for solving load balancing problems are given. Using a
centralized method to control traffic using an SDN controller allows reducing latency and packet loss in a computer network (Agarwal et al., 2013).

Currently, to increase the efficiency of traffic management in computer networks, multipath routing methods are widely used (Liu et al., 2014; Singh et al., 2015; Anasane and Satao, 2016; Onthachi and Jayabal, 2018). In paper (Alnaser, 2014), a streaming multipath routing algorithm is presented, which allows to simultaneously create a set of paths between different pairs of network nodes. This algorithm has less time complexity in comparison with well-known multipath routing algorithms, but does not consider path metric. This complicates the TE process.

Alnaser (2017), a modified algorithm was proposed for generating the maximum set of disjoint paths, taking their metrics into account. Path optimization is performed by their reconfiguration with an adjacent path. Reconfiguration is performed within subgraphs that include only the vertices of the main and the adjacent paths. This allows reducing the area of path formation and reducing the time complexity of its formation.

The paper (Kulakov et al., 2018) presents a multipath routing method in network data centers, which, by taking into account the network topology and centralized management, makes it possible to optimize the procedure for generating a set of disjoint paths.


Fig. 1: Structure of a software-defined network

The centralized formation of multiple paths based on multipath routing in SDN allows us to reduce TE time and improve the Quality of traffic Service (QoS) (Chemeritskiy and Smeliansky, 2014).

Thus, the development of TE methods based on multi-path routing, taking into account the features and advantages of SDN, is relevant (Alnaser, 2018).

## Method of Multipath Traffic Engineering Based on SDN

Procedure for Exchanging Control Information Between an SDN Controller and Network Router

This papers proposes a TE method based on combination of the advantages of centralized and decentralized routing methods. Complete set of paths between different routers is formed in the SDN controller using the modified method of forming a set of paths according to the link state. When forming a path between two remote nodes, the paths between their inner nodes are formed. This allows to significantly reduce the time complexity of path formation due to re-formation of paths between inner nodes of a formed path. The SDN controller updates the routing information in SDN switches by adjusting their routing tables. This allows to organize a dynamic reconfiguration of traffic and to ensure the most uniform network load.

Figure 2 shows the process of service information exchange between the sender router $R_{i}$ and the SDN controller with TE.

At time $t_{0}$ router $R_{\mathrm{s}}$ sends a routing request with its number, the destination router $R_{0}$ number and the value of the Quality of Service ( QoS ) parameter. At time $t_{1}$ the

SDN controllers determines the availability of valid paths. In the absence of valid path, the SDN controller uses a modified routing protocol to form a valid set of paths. At time $t_{2}$ the SDN controller sends routing tables to router $R_{i}$.Among available paths, the $R_{i}$ router chooses the path with the minimum load and reports its number to the SDN controller. The data transmission to the chosen adjacent router begins. After the data transmission is completed, the routing tables are adjusted and updated.

## The Data Transmission Process

The data transmission is performed sequentially from the sender router $R_{i}$ to the adjacent router $R_{j}$ towards the router $R_{a}$. The adjacent router $R_{j}$ is determined by the routing table $\operatorname{Tm}(i)$ of router $R_{i}$. The data transmission is carried out according to the following algorithm.

## Data Transmission Algorithm

1. Assign the initial router $R_{\mathrm{s}}$ as a sender router $R_{i}$
2. The sender router determines from its routing table the availability of a path to router $R_{a}$ with an acceptable QoS value
3. If there are valid paths in the routing table $\operatorname{Tm}(i)$, go to step 5
4. If there are no valid paths, the $R_{i}$ router sends request to the SDN controller to form new paths
5. Among the valid paths, the $R_{i}$ router chooses paths to the router $R$ a with an acceptable metric and the minimum load value
6. Router $R_{i}$ sends data packet to the chosen adjacent router $R_{j}$
7. If the packet is not the last, go to step 2
8. The end of the data transmission process


Fig. 2: The procedure for service information exchange between an SDN controller and a network router

## Formation of the Routing Information

Alnaser (2018), a centralized wave algorithm for forming a set of paths based on SDN technology is presented. The main advantage of this method is that it is possible to form multiple paths between several network routers at the same time. When forming a path between two remote routers, paths between its internal routers are simultaneously formed. This allows to significantly reduce the time complexity of the formation of a paths set. The number of hops is selected as the metric. This allows creating a path of the minimum length, but does not allow optimizing network traffic and ensuring uniform network load.

In this paper, a combined metric is used, which allows the construction of traffic based on QoS and link load. The combined metric takes into account the amount of traffic $S\left(L_{i, j}\right)$ transmitted over each link $L_{i, j}$, the bandwidth capacity $B\left(L_{i, j}\right)$ and the time delay $\tau_{i}$ of data transmission over this link.

To ensure a uniform network load, the load factor $d_{i, j}=S\left(L_{i, j}\right) / \mathrm{B}\left(L_{i, j}\right)$ is taken into account. The value $d_{i, j}$ is used when choosing the transmission paths in order to optimize the load in the network. The load $D_{i}$ of path $P_{i}$ is determined by the maximum value of its links load ( $\max d_{i, j}$ ).

The formation of paths is performed sequentially between routers $R_{j} \in W_{i+1}$ and $R_{k} \in W_{i}$ of adjacent sets. Adjacent router sets are determined as $W_{i+1}=\left\{R_{j}\right\}$ and $W_{i}=\left\{R_{k}\right\}$ with the shared links $L_{j, k}$, where: $R_{j} \in W_{i+1}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{k} \in W_{i}$. The paths formation begins with the destination router $R_{s}$ with $i=1$. In this case the set $W_{1}=\left\{R_{s}\right\}$ and the set $W_{2}=\left\{R_{j}\right\}$ is the set of routers adjacent to the router $R_{s}$. Then, for routers $R_{j} \in W_{2}$ adjacent to the router $R_{s}$ the
routing tables towards the router $R_{a}$ are formed. On the second wave of routing, the formation of routes from routers $R_{j} \in W_{i+1}$ to routers $R_{k} \in W$ continues. As a result, tables of routes from routers $R_{j} \in W_{i+1}$ to router $R_{a}$ are formed. The process continues until all the paths between routers $R_{s}$ and $R_{a}$ are formed. Figure 3 shows the network graph and the result of the formation of the set of paths from router $R_{s}$ to router $R_{a}$. In this case, the relative transmission rate $V_{i}=m_{\mathrm{s}} / n$ was chosen, where $m_{\mathrm{s}}$ is the path bandwidth; $n$ is the number of links in the transmission path.

With a modified routing algorithm, a set of slightly different adjacent paths is formed. Figure 4 shows the set of formed paths with the maximum metric.

This allows changing the route Fig. 5 during the data transmission without the additional delay and packet loss.

The use of link-state routing protocols like OSPF is not effective when forming a large number of paths. Data transmission over a specific path complicates the rerouting process in case of changed metric. In this case, distance-vector protocols like RIP are more effective. The formation and updating of the routing information is performed centrally in the SDN controller. This allows reducing the time of formation of route information and eliminates the problem of looping routes. A routing table is built for every router $R_{j}$ (Table 1).

## The Procedure of Route Information Formation

. Set a set of routers $W_{l}=\left\{R_{n}\right\}$
. $D_{i}=0$
. $J=0$
4. for $j=j+1$ step 1 form a set of routers $W_{j+1}=\left\{R_{i} \mid i\right.$ $=1, \ldots k\}$ adjacent with the routers of the set $W_{j 1}=$
$\left\{R_{i} \mid i=1, \ldots k\right\}$., where $k$ is the sum of powers of routers set $W_{j 1}=\left\{R_{i} \mid i=1, \ldots k\right\}$;
5. if $W_{j+1}=\varnothing$ then go to 10 do.
6. for $i=1$ step 1 until $k$ calculate $Z_{i}\left\{V_{n}, V_{l}, M_{i, n}, d_{i j}\right\}$
7. If $d_{j}>D_{i}$ then $D_{i}=d_{j}$
8. end
9. go to 4
10. end

Let us consider an example of routing table formation when transmitting data from router $R_{0}$ to router $R_{12}$ Fig. 3. With the different link loads $L_{i, j}=\left(R_{i}, R_{j}\right)$ (Table 3).

Using the routing table, adjacent routers are determined toward the destination router, as well as the path metric and load. For example, for router $R_{5}$, Fig. 5, the following routing table is formed (Table 2).


Fig. 3: The computer network graph

```
********** Paths logging
0->4->8->12 Metric = 33,3333333333333 MBIT/S Pat
0->1->4->8>12 Metric = 25 MBIT/S PathId = 4b2275774.
0->2->4->8>12 Metric = 25 MBIT/S PathId = 59ad539c-
0->2->5->8>12 Metric = 25 MBIT/S PathId = 2a3ca18d-
0->2->5->9>12 Metric = 25 MBIT/S PathId = 9aed4f27-
0->2->5->10>12 Metric = 25 MBIT/S PathId = 0
0->2->6->10>12 Metric = 25 MBIT/S PathId = 5
0->3->6->10>12 Metric = 25 MBIT/S PathId = 5
0->3->7->10>12 Metric = 25 MBIT/S PathId = 7
0->3->7->11>12 Metric = 25 MBIT/S PathId = 1
0->4->8->9->12 Metric = 25 MBIT/S PathId = 47ed3d32.
0->1->4->8->9->12 Metric = 20 MBIT/S PathId = 7
0->2->4->8->9->12 Metric = 20 MBIT/S PathId = f
0->2->5->8->9->12 Metric = 20 MBIT/S PathId = 2
0->2->5->9->8->12 Metric = 20 MBIT/S PathId = c
0->4->2->5->8->12 Metric = 20 MBIT/S PathId = e
0->4->2->5->9->12 Metric = 20 MBIT/S PathId = 5
0->4->2->5->10->12 Metric = 20 MBIT/S PathId = 7
0->4->2->6->10->12 Metric = 20 MBIT/S PathId = b
0->4->8->5->9->12 Metric = 20 MBIT/S PathId = e
0->4->8->5->10->12 Metric = 20 MBIT/S PathId = e
```

Fig. 4: A set of paths between routers $R_{\mathrm{o}}$ and $R_{12}$


Fig. 5: Changing the route during the data transmission without the additional delay and packet loss

Table 1: Routing table for router $R_{j}$ Router

| Destination | Adjacent | Path metric | Path load |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $R_{a}$ | $R_{i}$ | $M_{j, a}$ | $D_{l}$ |

Table 2: Routing table for router $R_{5}$
Router

| Destination | Adjacent | Path metric | Path load |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $R_{12}$ | $R_{8}$ | $M_{j, a}$ | $D_{l}$ |
| $R_{12}$ | $R_{9}$ | $M_{j, a}$ | $D_{l}$ |
| $R_{12}$ | $R_{10}$ | $M_{j, a}$ | $D_{l}$ |

## The Steps of Routing Tables Formation

1. $i=1$
2. A set of routers $W_{1}=\left\{R_{12}\right\}$ is formed
3. Based on Table 3, a set of routers $W_{2}=\left\{R_{8}, R_{9}\right.$, $\left.R_{10}, R_{11}\right\}$ adjacent to router $R_{12}$ is formed
4. Routing tables (Tables 4-7) for the set of routers $W_{2}=\left\{R_{8}, R_{9}, R_{10}, R_{11}\right\}$ are formed, taking into account the load of the path to router $R_{12}$

The row and column $R_{l 2}$ are deleted from the network adjacency Table (3). Next level adjacency table is formed (Table 8):

1. Based on Table 8, a set of routers $W_{3}=\left\{R_{4}, R_{5}, R_{6}\right.$, $\left.R_{7}, R_{8}, R_{9}, R_{10}\right\}$ adjacent with the set of routers $W_{2}$ $=\left\{R_{4}, R_{5}, R_{6}, R_{7}, R_{8}, R_{9}, R_{10}, R_{11}\right\}$ is formed
2. Routing tables (Tables 9-12) for the set of routers $W_{3}$ $=\left\{R_{4}, R_{5}, R_{6}, R_{7}, R_{8}, R_{9}, R_{10}\right\}$ are formed, taking into account the load of the path to router $R_{12}$

Routing tables for routers $R_{8}$ and $R_{9}$ are updated (Tables 13 and 14).

A set of routers $W_{2}=\left\{R_{8}, R_{9}, R_{10}, R_{11}\right\}$ is deleted from the network adjacency Table (8). Next level adjacency table is formed (Table 15).

1. Based on Table 15, a set of routers $W_{4}=\left\{R_{0}, R_{1}\right.$, $\left.R_{2}, R_{3}\right\}$ adjacent with the set of routers $W_{3}=\left\{R_{4}\right.$, $\left.R_{5}, R_{6}, R_{7}\right\}$ is formed
2. Routing tables (Tables 16-19) for the set of routers $W_{4}=\left\{R_{0}, R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{3}\right\}$ are formed, taking into account the load of the path to router $R_{12}$
3. Based on Table 20, a set of routers $W_{4}=\left\{R_{0},\right\}$ adjacent with the set of routers $W_{3}=\left\{R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{3},\right\}$ is formed
4. Routing table for router $R_{0}$ is adjusted (Table 21)

As a result, path for data transmission will be $R_{0} \rightarrow R_{4} \rightarrow R_{8} \rightarrow R_{12}$.

Table 3: Network adjacency table

|  | $R_{0}$ | $R_{1}$ | $R_{2}$ | $R_{3}$ | $R_{4}$ | $R_{5}$ | $R_{6}$ | $R_{7}$ | $R_{8}$ | $R_{9}$ | $R_{10}$ | $R_{11}$ | $R_{12}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $R_{0}$ | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $R_{1}$ | 0.2 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $R_{2}$ | 0,1 |  | 0.0 |  | 0,3 | 0.2 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $R_{3}$ | 0.1 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.4 | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $R_{4}$ | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |
| $R_{5}$ |  |  | 0.2 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.4 |  |  |
| $R_{6}$ |  |  | 0.1 | 0.4 |  |  | 0.2 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.3 |  |  |
| $R_{7}$ |  |  |  | 0.3 |  | 0.1 | 0.2 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| $R_{8}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1 |  |  | 0.5 | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| $R_{9}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 |  |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| $R_{10}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.4 |  | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| $R_{11}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.2 | 0.2 |  |  |  |
| $R_{12}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4: Routing table for router $R_{8}$
Router

| Destination | Adjacent | Path metric | Path load |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $R_{12}$ | $R_{12}$ | $M_{i, a}$ | 0.1 |

Table 5: Routing table for router $R_{9}$

| Router |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| --------------------------------------------------------1djacent | Path metric | Path load |  |
| Destination | $R_{12}$ | $M_{j, a}$ | 0.2 |
| $R_{12}$ | $R_{12}$ |  |  |
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Table 6: Routing table for router R10
Router

| Destination | Adjacent | Path metric | Path load |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $R_{12}$ | $R_{12}$ | $M_{j, a}$ | 0.2 |

Table 7: Routing table for router $R_{11}$
Router

| Destination | Adjacent | Path metric | Path load |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $R_{12}$ | $R_{12}$ | $M_{j, a}$ | 0.1 |

Table 8: Network adjacency table

|  | $R_{0}$ | $R_{1}$ | $R_{2}$ | $R_{3}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{5}}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{6}}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}_{7}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{8}}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{9}}$ | $R_{10}$ | $R_{11}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $R_{0}$ | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $R_{1}$ | 0.2 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $R_{2}$ | 0,1 |  | 0.0 |  | 0,3 | 0.2 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $R_{3}$ | 0.1 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.4 | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |
| $R_{4}$ | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |
| $R_{5}$ |  |  | 0.2 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.4 |  |
| $R_{6}$ |  |  | 0.1 | 0.4 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.2 |  |  | 0.3 |  |
| $R_{7}$ |  |  |  | 0.3 |  |  | 0.2 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{8}}$ |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ |  |  |
| $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{9}}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ |  | $\mathbf{0 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ |
| $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{1 0}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 4}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ |  |
| $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{1 1}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 9: Routing table for router $R_{4}$
Router

| Destination | Adjacent | Path metric | Path load |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $R_{12}$ | $R_{8}$ | $M_{j, a}$ | 0.1 |

Table 10: Routing table for router $R_{5}$
Router

| Destination | Adjacent | Path metric | Path load |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $R_{12}$ | $R_{8}$ | $M_{j, a}$ | 0.2 |
| $R_{12}$ | $R_{9}$ | $M_{j, a}$ | 0.2 |
| $R_{12}$ | $R_{10}$ | $M_{j, a}$ | 0.4 |

Table 11: Routing table for router $R_{6}$
Router

| Destination | Adjacent | Path metric | Path load |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $R_{12}$ | $R_{10}$ | $M_{j, a}$ | 0.2 |

Table 12: Routing table for router $R_{7}$
Router

| Destination | Adjacent | Path metric | Path load |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $R_{12}$ | $R_{5}$ | $M_{j, a}$ | 0.2 |
| $R_{12}$ | $R_{6}$ | $M_{j, a}$ | 0.2 |
| $R_{12}$ | $R_{10}$ | $M_{j, a}$ | 0.4 |

Table 13: Routing table for router $R_{8}$
Router

| Destination | Adjacent | Path metric | Path load |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $R_{12}$ | $R_{12}$ | $M_{j, a}$ | 0.1 |
| $R_{12}$ | $R_{9}$ | $M_{j, a}$ | 0.5 |
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Table 14: Routing table for router $R_{9}$
Router

| Destination | Adjacent | Path metric | Path load |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $R_{12}$ | $R_{12}$ | $M_{j, a}$ | 0.2 |
| $R_{12}$ | $R_{8}$ | $M_{j, a}$ | 0.5 |

Table 15: Network adjacency table

|  | $R_{0}$ | $R_{1}$ | $R_{2}$ | $R_{3}$ | $R_{4}$ | $R_{5}$ | $R_{6}$ | $R_{7}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $R_{0}$ | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |  |  |  |
| $R_{1}$ | 0.2 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.3 |  | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| $R_{2}$ | 0,1 |  | 0.0 |  | 0,3 |  | 0.4 |  |
| $R_{3}$ | 0.1 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.3 |  |  |
| $\boldsymbol{R}_{4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3}$ |  | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ |  |  |  |
| $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{5}}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ |  |  |
| $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{6}}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ |
| $\boldsymbol{R}_{7}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 3}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ |  |

Table 16: Routing table for router $R_{0}$
Router

| Destination | Adjacent | Path metric | Path load |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $R_{12}$ | $R_{4}$ | $M_{j, a}$ | 0.1 |

Table 17: Routing table for router $R_{1}$
Router

| Destination | Adjacent | Path metric | Path load |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $R_{12}$ | $R_{4}$ | $M_{j, a}$ | 0.3 |

Table 18: Routing table for router $R_{2}$
Router

| Destination | Adjacent | Path metric | Path load |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $R_{12}$ | $R_{4}$ | $M_{j, a}$ | 0.3 |
| $R_{12}$ | $R_{5}$ | $M_{j, a}$ | 0.2 |
| $R_{12}$ | $R_{6}$ | $M_{j, a}$ | 0.2 |

Table 19: Routing table for router $R_{3}$
Router

| Destination | Adjacent | Path metric | Path load |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $R_{12}$ | $R_{6}$ | $M_{j, a}$ | 0.4 |
| $R_{12}$ | $R_{7}$ | $M_{j, a}$ | 0.3 |

Table 20: Network adjacency table

|  | $R_{0}$ | $R_{1}$ | $R_{2}$ | $R_{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $R_{0}$ | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| $\boldsymbol{R}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ |  |  |
| $\boldsymbol{R}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{0 , 1}$ |  | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ |  |
| $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ |

Table 21: Routing table for router $R_{0}$
Router

| Destination | Adjacent | Path metric | Path load |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $R_{12}$ | $R_{4}$ | $M_{j, a}$ | 0.1 |
| $R_{12}$ | $R_{1}$ | $M_{j, a}$ | 0.2 |
| $R_{12}$ | $R_{2}$ | $M_{j, a}$ | 0.1 |
| $R_{12}$ | $R_{3}$ | $M_{j, a}$ | 0.1 |

## Dynamic Rerouting

The rerouting procedure consists in dynamically changing routing tables of the routers that make up a specific path. The SDN controller receives from the network switches the information about changes in link states, adjusts the routing tables of the corresponding network switches. Having several paths in every routing table allows a quick reconfiguration of paths during data transmission. This almost does not lead to data transmission delays and packets loss.

Figure 6 shows the set of optimal paths between routers $R_{0}$ and $R_{12}$ when the link $L_{8,12}$ is overloaded.

The choice of route depends on the routing table of the current router $R_{i}$ in which the packet is currently located. If packet is currently in the router $R_{8}$, then the path $R_{8} \rightarrow R_{9} \rightarrow R_{12}$ or $R_{8} \rightarrow R_{5} \rightarrow R_{10} \rightarrow R_{12}$ is chosen depending on their load. Having several paths eliminates the need for recalculation of the routes and simplifies the rerouting procedure.

When the path $R_{8} \rightarrow R_{12}$ changes to the path $R_{8} \rightarrow R_{9} \rightarrow R_{12}$, its load becomes equal to 0.6 (Table 22).

Then, the SDN controller makes changes to the routing tables of routers $R_{0}, R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{4}, R_{5}$, located on the path between routers $R_{0}$ and $R_{8}$ Fig. 6 (Tables 23-27).

Traffic is transferred over to the minimally loaded ( $D_{l}=0.2$ ) path: $R_{0} \rightarrow R_{2} \rightarrow R_{5} \rightarrow R_{9} \rightarrow R_{12}$.

Disconnecting the router also does not significantly affect the routing time.

Figure 7 shows the network graph and the result of the formation of a set of paths from router $R_{0}$ to router $R_{12}$ when the router $R_{8}$ is disconnected.

Figure 8 shows the set of optimal paths between the routers $R_{0}$ and $R_{12}$ when the router $R_{8}$ is disconnected.

In this case, the packet sent by router $R_{4}$ is returned to router $R_{2}$. At the same time, the packet transmission delay increases slightly. The following packets from $R_{0}$ are forwarded without delay to router $R_{2}$ or router $R_{3}$, depending on their load.

As the simulation results showed, the proposed TE method allows us to basically eliminate the packet delay and loss in the rerouting process.

```
********** Paths logging **********
0->2->5->9->12 Metric = 25 MBIT/S PathId = 727d3d16
0->2->5->10->12 Metric = 25 MBIT/S PathId =
0->2->6->10->12 Metric = 25 MBIT/S PathId =
0->3->6->10->12 Metric = 25 MBIT/S PathId =
0->3->7->10->12 Metric =25 MBIT/S PathId =
0->4->7->11->12 Metric = 25 MBIT/S PathId =
0->4->8->9->12 Metric = 25 MBIT/S PathId = f741c3c7.
0->1->4->8->9->12 Metric = 20 MBIT/S PathId =
0->2->5->8->9->12 Metric =20 MBIT/S PathId =
0->4->8->5->9->12 Metric = 20 MBIT/S PathId =
0->4->8->5->10->12 Metric = 20 MBIT/S PathId =
```

Fig. 6: Set of paths


Fig. 7: Network graph with the router $R s$ disconnected

| $0->2->5->10->12$ | Metric $=25 \mathrm{MBIT} / \mathrm{S}$ PathId $=$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $0->2->6->10->12$ | Metric $\mathbf{= 2 5} \mathrm{MBIT} / \mathrm{S}$ PathId $=$ |
| $0->3->6->10->12$ | Metric $=\mathbf{2 5} \mathrm{MBIT} / \mathrm{S}$ PathId $=$ |
| $0->3->7->10->12$ | Metric $=25 \mathrm{MBIT} / \mathrm{S}$ PathId $=$ |
| $0->3->7->11->12$ | Metric $=\mathbf{2 5} \mathrm{MBIT} / \mathrm{S}$ PathId $=$ |
| $0->4->2->5->9->12$ | Metric $=20 \mathrm{MBIT} / \mathrm{S}$ PathId $=$ |
| 0 0->4->2->5->10->12 | Metric $=20 \mathrm{MBIT} / \mathrm{S}$ Pathid $=$ |
| 0->4->2->6 $->10->12$ | Metric $=\mathbf{2 0}$ MBIT/S Pathid $=$ |

Fig. 8: Set of optimal paths when the router $R s$ is disconnected
Table 22: Routing table for router $R 8$
Router

| Destination | Adjacent | Path metric | Path load |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\boldsymbol{R}_{12}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}_{9}$ | $\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{j}, \boldsymbol{a}}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ |

Table 23: Routing table for router $R_{4}$
Router

| Destination | Adjacent | Path metric | Path load |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{1 2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{8}}$ | $\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{j}, \boldsymbol{a}}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ |

Table 24: Routing table for router $R_{5}$
Router

| Destination | Adjacent | Path metric | Path load |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\boldsymbol{R}_{12}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{8}}$ | $\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{j}, a}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ |
| $R_{12}$ | $R_{9}$ | $M_{j, a}$ | 0.2 |
| $R_{12}$ | $R_{10}$ | $M_{j, a}$ | 0.4 |

Table 25: Routing table for router $R_{1}$
Router

| --------------------------------------------- | Adjacent | Path metric | Path load |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Destination | $\boldsymbol{R}_{4}$ | $\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{j}, \boldsymbol{a}}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\boldsymbol { R } _ { 1 2 }}$ |  |  |  |

Table 26: Routing table for router $R_{2}$
Router

| Destination | Adjacent | Path metric | Path load |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\boldsymbol{R}_{12}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}_{4}$ | $\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{j}, a}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ |
| $R_{12}$ | $R_{5}$ | $M j_{a}$ | 0.2 |
| $R_{12}$ | $R_{6}$ | $M_{j, a}$ | 0.2 |

Table 27: Routing table for router $R_{o}$
Router

| Destination | Adjacent | Path metric | Path load |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{1 2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{4}}$ | $\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{j}, a}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ |
| $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{1 2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{M}_{\boldsymbol{j}, a}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ |
| $R_{12}$ | $R_{2}$ | $M_{j, a}$ | 0.2 |
| $R_{12}$ | $R_{3}$ | $M_{j, a}$ | 0.3 |

## Conclusion

This paper proposes a method for traffic engineering, which, by taking into account the specifics of SDN, in particular the presence of a centralized network controller, reduces the time required to form a set of access routes to networks resources and simplifies the rerouting process. Having multiple routes allows us to basically eliminate the packet delay and loss in the process of traffic rerouting. At the same time, the more paths are formed in the SDN controller, the less is the possibility of packet delay or loss.

The proposed method of forming the routing information allows to increase the efficiency of the TE procedure and to ensure a more uniform load of the data transmission links with given QoS parameters.
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