American Journal of Biochemistry and Biotechnology, 9 (1): 27-33, 2013 ISSN: 1553-3468 © 2013 S. Aliu *et al.*, This open access article is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) 3.0 license doi:10.3844/ajbbsp.2013.27.33 Published Online 9 (1) 2013 (http://www.thescipub.com/ajbb.toc)

# Effects of Some Heavy Metals in Some Morpho-Physiological Parameters in Maize Seedlings

# <sup>1</sup>Sali Aliu, <sup>2</sup>Bekim Gashi, <sup>1</sup>Imer Rusinovci, <sup>1</sup>Shukri Fetahu and <sup>3</sup>Rame Vataj

<sup>1</sup>Department of Crop Science, Faculty of Agriculture and Veterinary, University of Prishtina, Prishtina, Kosova <sup>2</sup>Department of Biology, Faculty of Natural Science, University Prishtina, Prishtina, Kosova <sup>3</sup>Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Natural Science, University Prishtina, Prishtina, Kosova

Received 2013-02-25, Revised 2013-03-15; Accepted 2013-03-30

# ABSTRACT

Nowadays the heavy-metal pollution of the soil is causing ever greater problems, exacerbated by the fact that the heavy metals accumulated in plants may, either directly or indirectly, find their way into animals and human beings. Maize is one of the world's most important crops, ranking third after wheat and rice, so the changes induced by one of the most toxic heavy metals. The experiment design was randomly with repetition. Prepared seeds are placed on the germinator for germination which was added 10 mL H<sub>2</sub>O. Cultivation lasted 15-20 days at temperature 25°C in vegetative room. During the experiment in growth period are prepared the concentrations of heavy metals (600 mL/1 Kg compost); for lead (Pb<sup>2+</sup>): 200  $\mu$ M (T1), 400  $\mu$ M (T2) and 400  $\mu$ M (T3); for cadmium (Cd<sup>2+</sup>): 60  $\mu$ M (T4), 120  $\mu$ M (T5) and 180  $\mu$ M (T6); for Mercury (Hg<sup>2+</sup>): 33  $\mu$ M (T7), 66  $\mu$ M (T8) dhe 100  $\mu$ M (T9). Elements concentrations of heavy metals (HM's) solutions include Pb, Cd and Hg, were examined in all (except control) treatments, because their intoxication was at higher doses compare to control and a part of them of residual od HM's in the substrate was transport in different parts of plants. The plants of maize populations for leaf area was characterized on higher values 40.48 cm<sup>2</sup> plant<sup>-1</sup> or expressed in percentage the variation was 82.01%. The exposure of maize seedlings to  $Pb^{2+}$ ,  $Cd^{2+}$  and  $Hg^{2+}$  resulted in a reduction of chlorophyll and carotenoids content in leaves compare to control. From our research with different treatments with various genotype and heavy metals the differences in the content of chlorophyll effects and carotenoides was different and significantly higher at level of probability of LSD p = 0.01.

Keywords: Maize, Cadmium, Lead, Mercury, Photosynthetic Pigment

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

Heavy Metals (HM's) make a significant contribution to environmental pollution as a result of human activities such as mining, smelting, power transmission, intensive agriculture (Nedelkoska and Doran, 2000) or heavy metal contamination affects the biosphere in many places worldwide (Meagher, 2000). HM's can affect plant growth and production in a multiple way by inhibiting a number of physiological processes in plants. They were shown to cause disturbance in plant ion (Wallace *et al.*, 1992; Barcelo *et al.*, 1986) and water balance to interfere with protein metabolism through influencing nitrate and

sulphate reduction (Nussbaum et al., 1988; Hernandez et al., 1997). A major environmental concern due to dispersal of urban and industrial waste generated by human activities is the contamination of soil with heavy metals. Polluted soil poses a severe problem to both health and land problem (Akhionbare et al., 2010). The discharge of heavy metals as a by product of various human activities has been accompanied by large scale soil pollution (Shivhare and Sharma, 2012). They present a risk for primary and secondary consumers and ultimately humans (Zeller and Feller, 1999). Among toxic metals, Lead (Pb) and Cadmium (Cd) appear to be the most dangerous to the environment

Corresponding Author: Bekim Gashi, Department of Biology, Faculty of Natural Science, Universiteti Prishtina, Prishtina, Kosova



(Malkowski *et al.*, 2005).  $Pb^{2+}$  and  $Cd^{2+}$ , are growth inhibition, ion uptake and transport disturbances, enzyme activation or inhibition photosynthesis (Fargasova, 2001; Geebelen et al., 2002). Mercury (Hg) poisoning has become a problem of current interest as a result of environmental pollution on a global scale. The availability of soil Hg to plants is low and there is a tendency for Hg accumulation in the roots. Indicating that roots serve as a barrier to Hg uptake (Tripathi and Tripathi, 1999). Cereals in this case maize are known to be good accumulators of contaminants (Malgorzata and Andzej, 2005). The mechanisms of heavy metal toxicity on photosynthesis is still a matter of speculations, this may be partly due to the differences in experimental design, but some evidence points to the involvement of electron transport in lights reactions (Giardi et al., 1997). The lack of cultivable and productive land has been attributed to soil contamination from high rate of heavy metals (USEPA, 1997). Land contamination/degradation is a threat to sustainable agricultural development and food security in developing countries (Adejumo et al., 2011). Many researchers have investigated the uptake and accumulation of Lead (Pb) and Cadmium (Cd) in different plant species. However, the mechanism of accumulation of heavy metals is still not completely understood (Malkowski et al., 2005). Pb and Cd are the most widespread no nutrient heavy metals (Mihailovic, 2010). Also, here no exception as Kosovo, through the thermal power plant in Obiliq, ferronickel Factory, or foundry in Zvecan, through released very large amount of heavy metals, which not only contaminate the land, but have negative effects on the growth and development of plants during vegetation. The aim of the experiments was to evaluate the effects of some heavy metals in maize seedlings for different physiological traits.

# 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

# 2.1. Plant Material and Growth Conditions

The plant material which was include in our study were four different maize (*Zea mays* L.) genotypes; Local maize population (LMP's) originating from Kosova, while Bodrog (H-4), MV277 (H-6) and Miranda (H-12) were from Agricultural Institute in Martonvasar-Hungary. The seeds were disinfected with HgCl<sub>2</sub> 0.01% for 20 sec and 70% ethanol for 5 min, to avoid fungal contamination and then rinsed three times with distilled water and after were sterilized. Maize seeds were germinated on moistened filter paper. Prepared seeds are placed on the germinator for germination which was added 10 mL H<sub>2</sub>O for ten days in temperature 25°C. During these periods are prepared compost (minimum 1)

kg/replicates) pots for cultivars and each treatment. In totally are 9 pots for heavy metals include PbCl<sub>2</sub>, CdCl<sub>2</sub>, HgCl<sub>2</sub> and 1 control. The maize seedlings were transferred to compost in 1 kg weight of pots in controlled environment cabinets or controlled rooms with a 12 photoperiod and temperature 25/19°C day/night and 75% relative humidity. The compost consisted of pH (CaCl<sub>2</sub>) = 5.8; salt concentration (g  $L^{-1}$ KCl = 0.9; Nitrogen (NH<sub>4</sub>+NO<sub>3</sub>) mg L<sup>-1</sup> CaCl<sub>2</sub> = 120; Phosphorus (P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) mg L<sup>-1</sup> CAL=150 and potassium (K<sub>2</sub>O) mg L<sup>-1</sup> CAL = 200. During the experiment in growth period are prepared the concentrations of heavy metals (600 mL/1 Kg compost); For lead (Pb<sup>2+</sup>): 200 µM (T1), 400  $\mu$ M (T2) and 400  $\mu$ M (T3); for cadmium (Cd<sup>2+</sup>): 60 μM (T4), 120 μM (T5) dhe 180 μM (T6); for Mercury  $(Hg^{2+})$ : 33 µM (T7), 66 µM (T8) dhe 100 µM (T9). These (Hg ): 35  $\mu$ M (17), 60  $\mu$ M (18) and 100  $\mu$ M (17). These concentration of heavy metals corresponding with concentration of substrate in mg kg<sup>-1</sup>: T1 – Pb<sup>2+</sup>, 25 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>; T2-Pb<sup>2+</sup>, 50 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>; T3 - Pb<sup>2+</sup>, 25 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>; T4 - Cd<sup>2+</sup>, 4 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>; T5 - Cd<sup>2+</sup>, 8 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>; T6 - Cd<sup>2+</sup>, 12 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>; T7 - Hg<sup>2+</sup>, 4 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>; T8 - Hg<sup>2+</sup>, 8 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>; T9 - Hg<sup>2+</sup>, 12 mg ls<sup>--1</sup> Bierroadts were extracted by grinding 60-80 mg freshly kg<sup>-1</sup>. Pigments were extracted by grinding 60-80 mg freshly sampled leaves in 80% (v/v) acetone/water containing MgCO<sub>3</sub> (0.5%w/v) at room temperature for 24 h in the dark. Photosynthetic pigments of all the samples were extracted in triplicate to minimize experimental errors. Concentration of chlorophyll and carotenoid contents were measured by using absorbance recorded at 662, 644 and 440 nm for maximum absorption of chlorophyll 'a' (*Chl a*), chlorophyll 'b' (Chl b) and Carotenoids, respectively. The extinction coefficients were determined by a UV-Vis spectrophotometer (SECOMAM, Anthelie Advanced 5). Pigment contents were calculated in mg  $g^{-1}$  Fresh leaf Weight (FW) by applying the absorption coefficient equations described by Lichtenthaler (1986):

*Chl a* (mg g<sup>-1</sup> FW) = [9.784 (OD662)-0.99 (OD644)]×V/FW *Chl b* (mg g<sup>-1</sup> FW) = [21.426 (OD644)-4.65 (OD662)]×V/FW Carotenoids (mg g<sup>-1</sup> FW) = [4.695 (OD440)-0.268 (*Chl* 

Carotenoids (mg g FW) = [4.695 (OD440)-0.268 (*Chl* a + Chl b]×V/FW

Where:

FW = Fresh leaf weight

OD = Optical Density

V = Volume of sample

After 15 days of exposure, the following parameters were determined in different part of plants include; Heavy Metal Contents (HMC), Leaf Area (LA), chlorophylls a, b and carotenoids concentrations. All analysis for determination of HM's including: Pb<sup>2+</sup>, Cd<sup>2+</sup> and Hg<sup>2+</sup> was



28

done by Spectrophotometer of Atomic Absorber (SAA) Thermo Elemental M, by U.S. EPA Method 245.5 Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy.

LA was determined according to the formula:  $A = L \times W \times 0.75$ , where L is the leaf length, W is the leaf width, 0.75 is the factor of recalculation for maize. The same formula has been used by Aliu *et al.* (2010).

#### 2.2. Statistical Analyses

The experiment was performed in a randomized design with five (5) replicates. Differences among the  $Pb^{2+}$ ,  $Cd^{2+}$ ,  $Hg^{2+}$  and other morpho-physiological parameters were tested using MINITAB-14, statistical program. Mean separation within columns are done by Duncan's Multiple Range test.

#### **3. RESULTS**

The soil content with Pb, Cd and Hg are shown in **Table 1**. The  $Pb^{2+}$ ,  $Cd^{2+}$  and Hg concentrations were significantly higher in the treatments T3. Elements concentrations of HM's solutions include  $Pb^{2+}$ ,  $Cd^{2+}$  and  $Hg^{2+}$ , were examined in all (except control) treatments, because their intoxication was at higher doses compare to control and a part of them of residual HM's in the substrate was transport in different parts of plants. The differences for the concentration of  $Pb^{2+}$  in the substrate and content of plant were highly significant between genotypes. Higher content was found in the third treatment T3 (even in the substrate in plants) with the average values of 64.54 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> (H-4) and 101.32 mg  $kg^{-1}$  (LMP's). While with very low absorption values are determined for the substrate at H-6 (26.31 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and in plant content with lead was H-12 (9.23 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. The differences for the content of Pb in the substrate compared to the control were very high significant at all genotypes, while compare the differences between the two extreme values between genotypes were +39.23 mg

 $kg^{-1}$  or with variation on 86.37% and the content of heavy metals in plants was higher significant differences, these differences were extreme values  $+92.09 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$  or with variation of 166.61%. Result are Presented in Table 1. Also the significant differences were found between treatments for HM's include Cd<sup>2+</sup> and Hg<sup>2+</sup> at all genotypes that were in the research. The presence of cadmium in the soil substrate was with different concentrations. A higher value of Cd is determined to T3 in the genotype H-6 (34.38) mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and compared with the control value (0.28) differences were 34.1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. And in plant residues for the content of  $Cd^{2+}$ , the higher value is found to T3 in the genotype H-12 with average values of  $35.31 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ . The higher presence of Hg in soil substrate was determined in T3 respectively at H-6 genotypes on average value 109.72 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, relatively higher concentration. Also the content of Hg in plant residues was evident with a presence of 83.43 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. Results presented in Table 1. In our results for LA, the experimental average values  $\mu$  were 22.24 cm<sup>2</sup> plants<sup>-</sup> The plants of LMP's for LA was characterized on higher values  $40.48 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ plant}^{-1}$ . Compare to experimental value  $\mu$  the differences were significantly higher +18.24 cm<sup>2</sup> plant<sup>-1</sup> or expressed in percentage the variation was 82.01%, presented in **Fig. 1**.

The exposure of maize seedlings to  $Pb^{2+}$ ,  $Cd^{2+}$  and Hg<sup>2+</sup> resulted in a reduction of chlorophyll and carotene content in leaves compare to control. From our research with different treatments with various genotype and heavy metals the differences in the content of chlorophyll effects and Carotenoides was different and significantly higher at level of probability of LSD p = 0.01. In plants of LMP's the effect with a high reduction in the content of chlorophyll a was found to treatment of third-content of Cd (0.696) and for the content of chlorophyll b, a + b and carotenoides effect was the content of lead in the third treatment but not excluding Cd and Hø

Table 1. Contents of heavy metals (mg kg<sup>-1</sup> soil) in soil substrates and maize seedlings

	Soil Conte	ent with HM's			Plants content with HM's							
Treatment	LMP's	H4	Н-6	H-12	LMP's	н-4	Н-6	H-12				
Control	-4.97	-6.55	-5.70	-6.09	0.51	6.67	5.02	5.73				
T1/mg Pb	6.60	6.60	1.05	10.15	13.40	20.27	10.58	7.94				
T2/mg Pb	11.21	23.16	21.49	38.24	15.24	23.61	23.17	7.66				
T3/mg Pb	49.52	64.54	26.31	43.85	101.32	43.64	35.83	9.23				
Control	-0.71	0.07	0.28	1.12	-0.40	0.05	0.29	0.89				
T1/mg Cd	4.92	8.22	4.55	5.85	10.30	14.39	7.81	20.84				
T2/mg Cd	10.86	11.22	6.12	8.60	15.92	23.33	10.82	23.53				
T3/mg Cd	12.01	15.44	34.38	14.52	19.09	27.13	27.65	35.31				
Control	1.23	2.69	2.52	1.99	2.14	2.85	2.68	2.05				
T1/mg Hg	5.38	4.37	36.51	5.79	9.17	27.10	22.33	16.04				
T2/mg Hg	9.30	4.98	37.85	40.10	9.31	35.59	26.71	22.56				
T3/mg Hg	11.89	6.41	109.72	44.28	9.75	83.43	32.95	37.24				





Sali Aliu et al. / American Journal of Biochemistry and Biotechnology 9 (1): 27-33, 2013

Fig. 1. The effects of different concentration of lead, cadmium and mercury on leaf area in maize seedlings

**Table 2.** The effects of different concentration of lead ( $Pb^{2+}$ ), cadmium ( $Cd^{2+}$ ) and mercury ( $Hg^{2+}$ ) on photosynthetic pigment contents of different maize seedlings

nl a 905 <sup>a</sup> 529 <sup>d</sup> 802 <sup>abc</sup>	Chl b 0.201 <sup>b</sup> 0.085 <sup>d</sup>	Total Chl 1.104 <sup>a</sup> 0.614 <sup>e</sup>	Carot.	Chl a 0.891ª	Chl b	Total Chl	Carot.	Chl a			-				
529 <sup>d</sup>	0.085 <sup>d</sup>			0.891 <sup>a</sup>	0.1003			Cin a	Chl b	Total Chl	Carot.	Chl a	Chl b	Total Chl	Carot.
		0.614°			0.123 <sup>a</sup>	1.013 <sup>a</sup>	0.360 <sup>a</sup>	0.974 <sup>a</sup>	0.259 <sup>a</sup>	1.232 <sup>a</sup>	0.347 <sup>a</sup>	0.782 <sup>a</sup>	0.143 <sup>a</sup>	0.925 <sup>a</sup>	0.615 <sup>a</sup>
802 <sup>abc</sup>			0.212 <sup>de</sup>	0.865 <sup>ab</sup>	0.105 <sup>abc</sup>	0.988 <sup>ab</sup>	0.328 <sup>abc</sup>	0.634 <sup>b</sup>	0.147 <sup>f</sup>	0.781 <sup>de</sup>	0.235 <sup>d</sup>	0.749 <sup>a</sup>	0.132 <sup>a</sup>	0.882 <sup>ab</sup>	0.269 <sup>ab</sup>
	0.138 <sup>a</sup>	$0.940^{f}$	0.198°	0.836 <sup>abc</sup>	0.102 <sup>abcd</sup>	0.938 <sup>abcd</sup>	0.340 <sup>ab</sup>	0.892 <sup>a</sup>	0.203 <sup>cd</sup>	1.095 <sup>abc</sup>	0.328 <sup>abc</sup>	0.759 <sup>a</sup>	0.156 <sup>a</sup>	0.915 <sup>ab</sup>	0.279 <sup>ab</sup>
761 <sup>abc</sup>	0.160 <sup>bc</sup>	0.921 <sup>f</sup>	0.225 <sup>cde</sup>	0.855 <sup>abc</sup>	0.098 <sup>abcd</sup>	0.953abc	0.345 <sup>ab</sup>	0.745 <sup>ab</sup>	0.198 <sup>cde</sup>	1.060 <sup>abc</sup>	0.312abc	0.853 <sup>a</sup>	0.175 <sup>a</sup>	1.027 <sup>a</sup>	0.308 <sup>ab</sup>
870 <sup>ab</sup>	0.201 <sup>b</sup>	1.07 <sup>ab</sup>	0.325 <sup>a</sup>	0.764 <sup>abc</sup>	0.074 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.838 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.316 <sup>abc</sup>	0.822 <sup>ab</sup>	0.187 <sup>de</sup>	1.009 <sup>bc</sup>	0.305 <sup>abc</sup>	0.743 <sup>a</sup>	0.140 <sup>a</sup>	0.883 <sup>ab</sup>	0.267 <sup>ab</sup>
775 <sup>abc</sup>	0.166 <sup>bc</sup>	0.940 <sup>cd</sup>	0.278 <sup>abc</sup>	0.724 <sup>c</sup>	0.057 <sup>d</sup>	0.781 <sup>d</sup>	0.289 <sup>c</sup>	0.779 <sup>ab</sup>	0.173 <sup>ef</sup>	0.952 <sup>cd</sup>	0.292 <sup>bc</sup>	0.784 <sup>a</sup>	0.167 <sup>a</sup>	0.951 <sup>ab</sup>	0.291 <sup>ab</sup>
696°	0.132 <sup>cd</sup>	0.829 <sup>d</sup>	0.258 <sup>bcde</sup>	0.821 <sup>abc</sup>	0.116 <sup>ab</sup>	0.925 <sup>abcd</sup>	0.342 <sup>ab</sup>	0.803 <sup>ab</sup>	0.194 <sup>cde</sup>	0.996 <sup>a</sup>	0.296 <sup>abc</sup>	0.702 <sup>a</sup>	0.159 <sup>a</sup>	0.861 <sup>ab</sup>	0.259 <sup>ab</sup>
795 <sup>abc</sup>	0.164 <sup>bc</sup>	0.960 <sup>bc</sup>	0.293 <sup>ab</sup>	0.844 <sup>abc</sup>	0.071 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.915 <sup>abcd</sup>	0.329 <sup>abc</sup>	0.617 <sup>b</sup>	$0.146^{f}$	0.763°	0.227 <sup>d</sup>	1.651 <sup>a</sup>	0.355 <sup>a</sup>	1.029 <sup>a</sup>	0.289 <sup>ab</sup>
731 <sup>bc</sup>	0.149 <sup>bc</sup>	0.880 <sup>cd</sup>	0.273 <sup>abcd</sup>	0.798 <sup>abc</sup>	0.069 <sup>cd</sup>	0.867 <sup>abcd</sup>	0.319abc	0.803 <sup>ab</sup>	0.237 <sup>ab</sup>	1.040 <sup>bc</sup>	0.276 <sup>cd</sup>	0.654 <sup>a</sup>	0.145 <sup>a</sup>	0.799 <sup>ab</sup>	0.241 <sup>ab</sup>
812 <sup>abc</sup>	0.163 <sup>bc</sup>	0.975 <sup>bc</sup>	0.298 <sup>ab</sup>	0.735 <sup>bc</sup>	0.06 <sup>cd</sup>	0.795 <sup>cd</sup>	0.301 <sup>bc</sup>	0.973 <sup>a</sup>	0.219 <sup>bc</sup>	1.193 <sup>ab</sup>	0.342 <sup>ab</sup>	0.721 <sup>a</sup>	0.154 <sup>a</sup>	0.874 <sup>ab</sup>	0.264 <sup>ab</sup>
7676	0.156	0.9233	0.2691	0.814	0.0875	0.9013	0.3269	0.8042	0.196	1.0121	0.296	0.839	0.172	1.011	0.309
0300	0.010	0.040	0.010	0.010	0.007	0.0200	0.0060	0.0300	0.010	0.040	0.010	0.090	0.020	0.110	0.030
.510	21.67	14.67	17.01	7.080	27.42	8.8200	14.090	15.05	18.40	15.11	14.09	34.52	37.88	34.86	35.31
8 7 7 7 7 8 7	70 <sup>ab</sup> 75 <sup>abc</sup> 96 <sup>c</sup> 95 <sup>abc</sup> 31 <sup>bc</sup> 12 <sup>abc</sup> 676 300 510	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 70^{ab} & 0.201^{b} \\ 75^{abc} & 0.166^{bc} \\ 96^{c} & 0.132^{cd} \\ 95^{abc} & 0.164^{bc} \\ 31^{bc} & 0.149^{bc} \\ 12^{abc} & 0.163^{bc} \\ 676 & 0.156 \\ 300 & 0.010 \\ 510 & 21.67 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$								

LMP's – Local Maize Population (Kosovo); H4, H6, H12-genotypes from Agricultural Institute in Martonvasar-Hungary; X-Mean of column; SE -Standard Error ( $\pm$ ); CV-Coefficient of Variance; C-Control; T1-Pb<sup>2+</sup>, 25 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>; T2 – Pb<sup>2+</sup>, 50 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>; T3 - Pb<sup>2+</sup>, 25 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>; T4 - Cd<sup>2+</sup>, 4 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>; T5 - Cd<sup>2+</sup>, 8 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>; T6 - Cd<sup>2+</sup>, 12 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>; T7 - Hg<sup>2+</sup>, 4 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>; T8 - Hg<sup>2+</sup>, 8 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>; T9 - Hg<sup>2+</sup>, 12 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. Mean in each column followed by same letters are not significantly different at the P 0.05 by one-way ANOVA with Duncan's multiple range tests

#### Table 3. The Correlation coefficients between traits in maize seedlings

		LMP's				H-4				H-6				H-12			
R	Controll	Chl-a	Chl-b	Chl-a+b	Carot	Chl-a	Chl-b	Chl-a+b	Carot	Chl-a	Chl-b		Carot	Chl-a	Chl-b	Chl-a+b	Carot
Cont	1.000	0.11	0.166	0.127	0.441	-0.528	-0.728(*)	-0.608	-0.653(*)	0.161	0.474	0.154	-0.041	-0.043	0.082	-0.021	-0.043
Chl-a	0.110	1.000	0.941(**)	0.997(**)	0.664(*)	-0.458	-0.459	-0.476	-0.536	0.629	0.417	0.595	0.639(*)	0.126	0.128	0.126	0.151
Chl-b	0.166	0.941(**)	1.000	0.966(**)	0.804(**)	-0.508	-0.513	-0.530	-0.585	0.491	0.324	0.479	0.515	0.121	0.11	0.119	0.143
Chl-a+b	0.127	0.997(**)	0.966(**)	1.000	0.706(*)	-0.474	-0.479	-0.494	-0.554	0.600	0.399	0.571	0.614	0.13	0.129	0.129	0.154
Carot	0.441	0.664(*)	0.804(**)	0.706(*)	1.000	-0.506	-0.51	-0.528	-0.613	0.355	0.251	0.260	0.222	0.148	0.132	0.145	0.172
Chl-a	-0.528	-0.458	-0.508	-0.474	-0.506	1.000	0.842(**)	0.987(**)	0.919(**)	-0.406	-0.367	-0.351	-0.325	0.191	0.172	0.189	0.186
Chl-b	-0.728(*)	-0.459	-0.513	-0.479	-0.510	0.842(**)	1.000	0.918(**)	0.894(**)	-0.131	-0.271	-0.132	-0.045	-0.206	-0.279	-0.219	-0.206
Chl-a+b	-0.608	-0.476	-0.53	-0.494	-0.528	0.987(**)	0.918(**)	1.000	0.944(**)	-0.339	-0.353	-0.299	-0.253	0.078	0.042	0.072	0.074
Carot	-0.653(*)	-0.536	-0.585	-0.554	-0.613	0.919(**)	0.894(**)	0.944(**)	1.000	-0.400	-0.372	-0.335	-0.303	0.062	-0.008	0.050	0.047
Chl-a	0.161	0.629	0.491	0.600	0.355	-0.406	-0.131	-0.339	-0.4	1.000	0.836(**)	0.953(**)	0.936(**)	-0.548	-0.522	-0.545	-0.519
Chl-b	0.474	0.417	0.324	0.399	0.251	-0.367	-0.271	-0.353	-0.372	0.836(**)	1.000	0.906(**)	0.751(*)	-0.537	-0.460	-0.526	-0.520
Chl-a+b	0.154	0.595	0.479	0.571	0.260	-0.351	-0.132	-0.299	-0.335	0.953(**)	0.906(**)	1.000	0.957(**)	-0.559	-0.521	-0.555	-0.537
Carot	-0.041	0.639(*)	0.515	0.614	0.222	-0.325	-0.045	-0.253	-0.303	0.936(**)	0.751(*)	0.957(**)	1.000	-0.546	-0.529	-0.545	-0.525
Chl-a	-0.043	0.126	0.121	0.13	0.148	0.191	-0.206	0.078	0.062	-0.548	-0.537	-0.559	-0.546	1.000	0.981(**)	0.999(**)	0.999(**)
Chl-b	0.082	0.128	0.110	0.129	0.132	0.172	-0.279	0.042	-0.008	-0.522	-0.46	-0.521	-0.529	0.981(**)	1.000	0.987(**)	0.981(**)
Chl-a+b		0.126	0.119	0.129	0.145 0.172	0.189	-0.219	0.072	0.05	-0.545	-0.526	-0.555	-0.545	0.999(**)	0.987(**)	1.000	0.998(**)
Carot	-0.043	0.151	0.143	0.154	0.1/2	0.186	-0.206	0.074	0.047	-0.519	-0.520	-0.537	-0.525	0.999(**)	0.981(**)	0.998(**)	1.000

\*\*; Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level

\*; Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level



AJBB

While the other maize genotypes H-4 and H-6 the high effect in the reduction of physiological contents is determined by cadmium and mercury. Maize genotypes H-12 is very in our study are interesting that of all the treatments for the content of chlorophyll a and b was not found any significant difference, but for the content of chlorophyll a + b and carotenoides differences were more emphatic for probability level LSD p = 0.05. Results are presented in Table 2. Study of correlation coefficients between evaluated characters shows different values between the traits which was included in the research. In most cases the average control value was with strong correlative values significantly higher as chlorophyll a, b and total chlorophyll (a + b), while on carotenoid traits values the correlative values were lower and in some cases were non-significant differences as negative correlations. Results are presented in Table 3.

# 4. DISCUSSION

In the present study, exposure to HM's affected different traits of maize: leaf area and chlorophyll content include Chlorophyll 'a' (Chl a), chlorophyll 'b' (Chl b) and Carotenoids. Leaf is very important photosynthetic part, which through the green pigment chlorophyll allows that light can transform kinetic energy into potential energy. The Leaf Area (LA) plays an important role in the accumulation of organic materials (Aliu et al., 2010). In our study the presence of metals includes Pb, Cd and Hg at hybrid genotypes had a significant impact on reduction of LA in relation to the control and treatment concentration. This hypothesis gives us some preliminary information that local maize populations that are selected and adapted to the agro ecological conditions for centuries from our farmers, are more tolerant to environmental stress, in our case with heavy metals. Our results showed that the presence of heavy metals to plants of LMP's for LA, compared to control (38.48  $\text{cm}^2 \text{ plant}^{-1}$ ) has caused a physiological stress, which was manifested by an increase in leafy area to the three treatment at all elements of heavy metals which was include in our results. While at hybrid genotypes this phenomenon is not manifested as LMP's with increase of LA. These results shows that with the increase of LA automatically can have also increased of organic matter per unit area. From the results of Godzik (1993) for maximum  $Pb^{2+}$  content is found in senescing leaves and least in young leaves. From the literature we learn that in most cases the presence of heavy metals causes stress and inhibits or slows the

growth processes of plants, including and maize (Stiborova *et al.*, 1987; Rascio *et al.*, 1993). The exposure of maize seedlings to  $Pb^{2+}$ ,  $Cd^{2+}$  and  $Hg^{2+}$ resulted in a reduction of chlorophyll and carotene content in leaves compare to control. The different results for total chlorophyll content were presented by Amujoyegbe et al. (2007) on values from 1.73 till 2.11. Also, by Jain et al. (2007) who investigated the effect of Cd<sup>+2</sup> in different concentrations for content of maize Chlorophyll, it is concluded by them that the level of concentration 0.5 µM the chlorophyll content was higher. The Total chlorophyll content of primary leaves decreased with increasing mercury concentration, it decreased 24.9-29.2% under treatments with 0.02, 0.04 and 0.06 mM HgCl<sub>2</sub> (Zengin and Munzuroglu, 2005). The results by Ghani (2010) who investigated the effect of lead in different concentrations for Chlorophyll 'a' (Chl a), 'b' (Chl b), his results were with higher variation and depending on the increase of the concentration, for control the Chlorophyll'a' content was 0.54, while with  $Pb^{+2}$ concentration the content of Chl a was 0.386. Results presented by Burzynski (1987), show that effect of Pb<sup>2+</sup> inhibits chlorophyll synthesis by causing impaired uptake of essential elements such as Mg and Fe by plants. Also, some results by Antosiewicz (1992) showed that the lead content tends to decrease plant organs, but this order can vary with plant species. Some plants can tolerate even concentrations of different metals without visual symptoms of toxicity (Grejtovsky et al., 2008; Seregin and Kozhevnikova, 2008). Results reported by Saderi and Zarinkamar (2012) for concentration of two HM's (Pb and Cd) who emphases that increased concentration to 180 µM, which shoot length was reduced by 79.30 and 83%. It is also found that the influence of Cd<sup>+2</sup> can affect reducing of coleoptiles, the roots of which associated with clorosis (Nocito et al., 2006). Also, some results were reported by Malecka et al. (2012) for different treatments of lead concentration resulted on higher significance with increasing of level lead concentration. Contents of HM's in plant material not always exerted direct proportionality with content of HM's in soil (Tomas et al., 2012). Metal hyper accumulating species have been identified in at least 45 plant families and individual species can accumulate different metals (Mohammad *et al.*, 2012).

### **5. CONCLUSION**

The results of our investigation substantiate the following conclusions: The effect of different of concentration of heavy metals in local maize populations for leaf area has not shown any significant differences



effects because these genotypes seems more are adapted to environmental stress in this case to Pb, Cd and Hg. But, for other traits the differences were significantly higher. While in other maize genotype hybrids the effects with heavy metals was with significant differences for level of probability LSD p = 0.01. The chlorophyll content in the treatment of control was the highest and significantly, while the lowest of chlorophyll content value at local maize populations in most cases is found in the third treatment with cadmium, while hybrids was not significant differences in mercury concentrations. This hypothesis gives us some preliminary information that local maize populations that are selected and adapted to the agro ecological conditions for centuries from our farmers, are more tolerant to environmental stress, in our case with heavy metals.

# 6. REFERENCES

- Adejumo, S.A., A.O. Togun, J.A. Adediran and M.B. Ogundira, 2011. In-Situ Remediation of heavy metal contaminated soil using mexican sunflower (*Tithonia diversifolia*) and cassava waste composts. World J. Agric Sci., 7: 224-233.
- Akhionbare, S., T. Ebe, W. Akhionbare and N. Chukwuocha, 2010. Heavy metal uptake by corn (*Zea mays* L.) grown on contaminated soil. Res. J. Agric. Biolog. Sci., 6: 993-997.
- Aliu, S., S. Fetahu and L. Rozman, 2010. Variation of physiological traits and yield components of some maize hybrid (*Zea mays* L.) in agroecological conditions of Kosovo. Acta Agric. Slovenica, 95: 35-41.
- Amujoyegbe, B., B. Opabode and A. Olayinka, 2007. Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizer on yield and chlorophyll content of maize and sorghum. Afr. J. Biotechnol., 6: 1869-1873.
- Antosiewicz, D.M., 1992. Adaptation of plants to an environment polluted with heavy metals. Acta Soc. Botanicorum Poloniae, 61: 281-299.
- Barcelo, J., C. Cabot and C. Poshenrieder, 1986.
  Cadmium-Induced decrease of water stress resistance in bush bean plants (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L. cv. Contender) II. Effects of Cd on endogenous abscisic acid levels. J. Plant Physiol., 125: 27-34. DOI: 10.1016/S0176-1617(86)80240-1
- Burzynski, M., 1987. Influence of lead and cadmium on the absorption and distribution of potassium, calcium, magnesium and iron in cucumber seedlings. Acta Physiol. Plantarum, 9: 229-238.

- Fargasova, A., 2001. Phytotoxic Effects of Cd, Zn, Pb, Cu and Fe on *Sinapis alba* L. seedlings and their accumulation in roots and shoots. Biol. Plantantarum, 44: 471-473. DOI: 10.1023/A:1012456507827
- Geebelen, W., J. Vangronsveld, D.C. Adriano, R. Carleer and H. Clijsters, 2002. amendment-induced immobilization of lead in a lead-spiked soil: evidence from phytotoxicity studies. Water Air Soil Pollut., 140: 261-277. DOI: 10.1023/A:1020147901365
- Ghani, A., 2010. Effect of Lead Toxicity on Growth, Chlorophyll and Lead (Pb+) Contents of Two Varieties of Maize (*Zea mays* L.). Pak. J. Nutr., 9: 887-891.
- Giardi, M., J. Masojidek and D. Godde, 1997. Effects of abiotic stresses on the turnover of the D1 reaction centre II protein. Plant Physiol., 101: 635-642. DOI: 10.1111/j.1399-3054.1997.tb01048.x
- Godzik, B., 1993. Heavy metals content in plants from zinc dumps and reference areas. Polish Bot. Stud., 5: 113-132.
- Grejtovsky, A., K. Markusova and L. Novakova, 2008. Lead uptake by *Matricaria chamomilla* L. Plant Soil Environ., 54: 47-54.
- Hernandez, L.E., A. Garate and R. Carpena-Ruiz, 1997. Effects of cadmium on the uptake, distribution and assimilation of nitrate in *Pisum sativum*. Plant Soil, 189: 97-100. DOI: 10.1023/A:1004252816355
- Jain, M., M. Pal, P. Gupta and R. Gadre, 2007. Effect of cadmium on chlorophyll biosynthesis and enzymes of nitrogen assimilation in greening maize leaf segments: Role of 2-oxoglutarate. Indian J. Exp. Biol., 45: 385-389.
- Lichtenthaler, H., 1986. Laser-induced chlorophyll fluorescence of living plants. Proceedings of the Remote Sensing Symposium, (RSS' 86), ESA Publication Division, Nordwijk, Zurich, pp: 1571-1579.
- Malecka A., A. Mensinger, A. Hanc, B. Danuta and B. Tomaszewska, 2012. Antioxidative defense system in *Pisum sativum* roots exposed to heavy metals. Pol. J. Environ. Stud., 6: 1721-1730.
- Malgorzata, H. and N. Andzej, 2005. Monitoring of bioremediation of soil polluted with diesel fuel applying bioassays. Elect. J. Polish Agric. Univ., 8: 1-2.
- Malkowski, E., R. Kurtyka and A. Kita, 2005. Accumulation of Pb and Cd and its effect on Ca distribution in maize seedlings (*Zea mays* L.). Polish J. Environ. Stud., 14: 203-207.
- Meagher, R., 2000. Phytoremediation of toxic elemental and organic pollutants. Curr. Opinion Plant Biol., 3: 153-162. DOI: 10.1016/S1369-5266(99)00054-0



- Mihailovic, N., 2010. Growth and ion uptake in maize plants exposed to Pb, Cd and Ni depend on NO<sub>3</sub>/NH<sub>4</sub> ratio. Botanica Serbica, 34: 15-20.
- Mohammad, R., R. Farhadi and M. Salehi, 2012. Effects of heavy metals on the medicinal plant. Int. J. Agronom. Plant Prod., 3: 154-158.
- Nedelkoska, T. and M. Doran, 2000. Characteristics of heavy metal uptake by plant species with potential for phytoremediation and phytomining. Minerals Eng., 13: 549-561. DOI: 10.1016/S0892-6875(00)00035-2
- Nocito, F., C. Lancilli, B. Crema, P. Fourcroy and J. Davidian *et al.*, 2006. Heavy metal stress and sulfate uptake in maize roots. Plant Physiol., 141: 1138-1148. PMID: 16698905
- Nussbaum, S., D. Schmutz and C. Brunold, 1988. Regulation of assimilatory sulfate reduction by cadmium in *Zea mays* L. Plant Physiol., 88: 1407-1410. PMID: 16666474
- Rascio, N., F. Dallavecchia, M. Ferretti, L. Merlo and R. Ghisi, 1993. Some effects of cadmium on maize plants. Arch. Environ. Contaminat. Toxicol., 25: 244-249. DOI: 10.1007/BF00212136
- Saderi, S. and F. Zarinkamar, 2012. The effect of different Pb and Cd concentrations on seed germination and seedling growth of *Matricaria chamomilla*. Adv. Environ. Biol., 6: 1940-1943.
- Seregin, V. and A. Kozhevnikova, 2008. Roles of root and shoot tissues in transport and accumulation of cadmium, lead, nickel and straoncium. Fiziologiya Rastenii., 55: 3-26. DOI: 10.1134/S1021443708010019

- Shivhare, L. and S. Sharma, 2012. Effect of Toxic heavy metal contaminated soil on an ornamental plant georgina wild. Toxicology, 2: 2-7. DOI: 10.4172/2161-0525.100015 6
- Stiborova, M., M. Ditrichova and A. Brezinova, 1987. Effect of heavy metal ions on growth and biochemical characteristics of photosynthesis of barley and maize seedlings. Biol. Plantarum, 29: 453-467. DOI: 10.1007/BF02882221
- Tomas, J., J. Arvay and T. Toth, 2012. Heavy metals in productive parts of agricultural plants. J. Microb. Biotech. Food Sci., 1: 819-827.
- Tripathi, A. and S. Tripathi, 1999. Changes in some physiological and biochemical characters in Alizia as bio-indicator of heavy metal toxicity. J. Environ. Biol., 20: 93-98.
- USEPA, 1997. Innovative uses of compost bioremediation and pollution prevention.
- Wallace, A., G.A. Wallace and J.W. Cha, 1992. Some modifications in trace metal toxicities and deficiencies in plants resulting from interactions with other elements and chelating agents--the special case of iron. J. Plant Nutr., 15: 1589-1598. DOI: 10.1080/01904169209364424
- Zeller, S. and U. Feller, 1999. Long-distance transport of cobalt and nickel in maturing wheat. Eur. J. Agronomy, 10: 91-98. DOI: 10.1016/S1161-0301(98)00060-4
- Zengin, F.K. and O. Munzuroglu, 2005. Effects of some heavy metals on content of chlorophyll, proline and some antioxidant chemicals in bean. Acta Biol. Cracoviensia Series Botanica, 47: 157-164.

